

Comments Received to IP 17, Monday, July 25, 2022

From: Janet Watkins <janwat13@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 10:27 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Gun & ammo ban

What part of "SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED" from our 2nd amendment of our US Constitution/Bill of Rights & our GOD Given Rights, does our government not understand????!!!! We Are a FREE People! Our 2nd Amendment Rights are there mostly to Protect ourselves from a Tyrannical Government!!!! Which We SO OBVIOUSLY HAVE!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Kelly Mackin <kellymack6@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 10:07 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

I object to the language of IP 17. It doesn't help people, only hurts them.

A fair law has appropriate mechanisms that allow for its just administration. This ballot initiative is completely unfair, unworkable, and does not address a real problem, and is therefore unconstitutional.

Kelly

From: K S <shermaky@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:23 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Comments

To: Secretary of State

From: Kyle Sherman

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

Respectfully,

Kyle Sherman

503-386-7852

From: Orange <Orange@22owls.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:07 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17 - Please include the following information in any statement published in the voter's guide:

To whom it may concern,

I am touching base on **IP17 the following needs to be included in any statement published in the voter's guide:**

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to apply for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to buy a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Thank you,

Bryen Boes

From: LeRoy Ford <leroyford@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 12:47 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Regarding IP 17, the gun and magazine ban initiative

Please include the following information in any statement pertaining to IP 17 published in the voter's guide.

"The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm."

LeRoy Ford

Permanent, Legal Oregon Resident Eligible to Vote

--

Count your blessings. Bad things don't seem as bad when you think about all the good things you have.

From: Steven Pollock <stevenpollock@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:08 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17 voters guide

Dear committee,

Please include these facts in the voters guide for IP17.

- The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.
- The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are no facilities that will be available for this training.
- For first time gun buyers this could well require that you have a gun before you can get a permit to buy a gun. There are no exceptions for the permit to purchase requirement for police or Federally licensed gun dealers.
- The "permitting agent" can demand "any additional information" to issue the permit opening endless opportunities for abuses.
- The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to physically provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or workforce to provide classes.
- Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes. The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs.

- There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments. While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low-income communities. The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers, and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.”
- There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved. The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after a background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it.
- There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police. The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms. Victims of domestic violence will be at risk for all their confidential information being made public along with their efforts to purchase a firearm for self-defense.
- The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets. The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to apply for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to buy a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.
- State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the state police don’t complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So, a single mom with threats against her and her children could wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Thank you very much!

From a concerned registered voters,

Steven L. Pollock

From: leokowalski34 <leokowalski34@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 10:54 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

Dear Secretary of State,

The measure IP17 outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon and teaching youth firearm safety.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

With Respect,

Leo Kowalski

From: ROBERT HOLLISTER <rphollister@comcast.net>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 12:38 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17

in light of recent rulings of the Supreme Court

District of Columbia v Hellar

New York State Rifle and Pistol Association v. Bruen

recent cases

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Judge Raymond P. Moore

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GUN OWNERS,

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR GUN RIGHTS, and

CHARLES BRADLEY WALKER v THE TOWN OF SUPERIOR

link provided for reference to Judge Raymond P. Moore TRO

<https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.cod.216528/gov.uscourts.cod.216528.18.0.pdf>

All of these have affirmed the 2nd Amendment and conclude that gun bans and magazine bans are UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

I am asking that the Secretary of state, find this ballot measure UNCONSTITUTIONAL and Infringes on the 2nd Amendment. In so allowing this to be on the ballot will cost taxpayers thousands if not hundreds of thousands to fight in court an Already known conclusion, it will fail the testing standard that the SCOTUS has ruled on 2x this year with more to come.

Thank you for your time

Serve the People not the Politics!!!!

From: Rod Rancik <kicnar@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 2:23 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17, the Gun, and Magazine Ban Initiative

Dear Members of the Commission,

I have read the contents of the proposed measure and there are many misguided stipulations in this initiative. While I am in favor of gun regulation, and I agree that there should be some control over who buys a gun and what types of guns are available to the public, I am disappointed that this measure appears to have created excessive loopholes and unsupportable requirements. The fact that the committee is composed of a mostly anti gun members is possibly the reason the requirements are virtually unattainable by honest law abiding citizens who may want to purchase a gun for self protection or protection of their family.

Please reconsider the make up of the committee and apply common sense to the requirements imposed by this measure. I want to see guns under stricter control but you must use caution not to impose unattainable legal approaches to gun purchases and ownership. This type of effort will have a serious impact on the results of the next election as well as creating a negative response to gun control.

Thank you,

Rodney Rancik
Lcdr USN (Ret)
2132 Martin Drive,
Medford, OR 97501

From: nerdygirl0402 <nerdygirl0402@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:48 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17 explanatory statement for the voter's guide

Hello,

When crafting the explanatory statement for the upcoming voter's guide for IP17, please include the following important points about this ballot measure.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required training to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to apply for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to buy a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check. State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Thank You,
Carley Sinz
Hillsboro, Oregon

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

From: Scott Browning <smbrowning@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:14 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Please accept my comments on IP 17, and keep in mind that historically gun laws in the United States, specifically those requiring permitting, were created to prevent minority gun ownership.

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

From: Renee Steele <wrensteele@live.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:18 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Potential impacts of the ballot measure IP 17 on different racial communities.

This measure is almost sure to have a negative impact on minorities both racial and ethnic.

The following are some statements of interest that will cause great concern and could prohibit because of a unlimited cost to the individuals.

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Warmest...

Renee L Steele

From: Nate Slater <nathanielwesley49@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:21 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately

From: FLHSTEVE <flhsteve@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:30 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

A gun purchase requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The ballot measure does not specify who will conduct these classes, and who will supervise the live fire. Will the instructors be inclusive and equitable?

Steven R Burock

McMinnville, OR

From: Dick Armstrong <PAPPYR@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:31 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Bad for low income people and everybody that wants to defend themselves

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

From: Roger Payne <paparoger3282@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:46 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17 impacts on minorities and low income communities

To the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission:

If passed into law, IP 17 will have the potential to impact minority and low income communities in the following ways:

- IP 17 requires a class before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents may have difficulty finding an approved class.
- The required class must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.
- The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a permit. This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.
- There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the class that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

I hope that the CJC will take these points into consideration when drafting its statement explaining the potential racial and ethnic impact of the bill.

Sincerely,

Roger Payne

Oregon City

From: Anthony Fields <afields187@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:45 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Racial and ethnic impact statement pursuant to ORS 137.685 for Initiative Petition 17

Greetings,

The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission (CJC) received a written request from a member of the Legislative Assembly from each major political party requesting a racial and ethnic impact statement pursuant to ORS 137.685 for Initiative Petition 17 that is related to crime and likely to have an effect on the criminal justice system. The CJC is responsible for preparing an impartial, simple, and

understandable statement explaining the racial and ethnic impact.

In addition to my previous comments submitted relative to IP17, please accept these comments regarding the likely racial and ethnic impacts on the criminal justice system should this bill pass.

- The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.
- The measure requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.
- The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.
- If a member of the minority community is uncomfortable around law enforcement, they will either be forced to interact with a member of law enforcement or forfeit their rights to own a firearm in Oregon.
- The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.
- State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don’t complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.
- While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low-income communities.
- There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. **At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns.**
- These issues are certain to impact low-income communities disproportionately.

Respectfully submitted,

Tony Fields

Milwaukie, Oregon

-----Original Message-----

From: David Jeffries <rockriverdjeff@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:50 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

To Whom it May Concern,

IP 17 will disproportionately remove the rights of low income and minority citizens to self-defense. They will be unable to afford the required classes in the bill, and will have an even greater burden on what is clearly a Constitutional right.

In addition, law enforcement will have full discretion on the permitting process. This is Jim Crow laws for firearms, and is racist.

As a law abiding citizen, this ballot measure is abhorrent and should be struck down immediately.

A Concerned Citizen,
David Jeffries

From: xmanonslaught@gmail.com <xmanonslaught@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:56 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Negative Impact on Minorities from IP17

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: James Weatherby <weatherby71@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:02 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17 concerns

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Sincerely,

James Weatherby

From: Chris Aho <chrisaho64@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:04 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

Hello,

In the spirit of openness and letting voters know both sides of an issue, I am requesting that the following be included in the explanatory statement for IP 17.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and

her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Thank you,

Chris Aho

From: David Hughes <dehughes@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:07 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

Greetings,

I thought you all should be aware that the measure, as written, requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class would require live-fire of a firearm, low-income urban residents will have the hardest time finding and attending an approved class as the requisite sites to host such classes are generally on the outskirts of most urban areas or located in unincorporated locations.

As well, such a required “class” must, according to the measure as written, be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police agency serving a low-income area should choose to simply not provide the class, which is a distinct possibility due to the strained budgets and reduced staffing present in nearly every law enforcement agency across this state, the aforementioned low-income community members would again be required to find a class far from their home at an untold expense.

This measure also gives police the ability to require any information they want when approving a person for such a “permit.” This discretion allows for potential inequality and could be applied selectively. Think of it like a driver’s license application...there are standardized pieces of information required by the DMV, not some nebulous informational bar to be met at the whim of the department. What if some DMVs required hardly any information, whereas other DMVs had unreasonably high standards for a driver’s license? This disparity is a distinct possibility given the present wording of IP 17 and could easily lead to very inequitable outcomes for people groups all across the state.

Furthermore, there are no time limits specified for the multiple background checks required for this proposed permit and there are no limits outlined for the cost of the “class” that is required. At this time, even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns, and these issues are certain to disproportionately impact low-income communities.

Please take the above points into account regarding this proposed bill, as those like myself who wish all Oregonians to have equal constitutional protections are closely watching your work and your decisions.

Respectfully,

David Hughes

Estacada, OR

From: Alex Paul <alexpaulsurfer@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:07 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Racial and ethnic impact statement pursuant to ORS 137.685 for Initiative Petition 17

The proposed initiative will not be racially fair or inclusive of poor people and will adversely and unfairly impact low income communities. This is because the initiative requires an applicant to take a "class" and pass it prior to being issued a permit to own a firearm. This "class" requires live fire so the cost of ammunition will by necessity reduce the number of poor applicants due to this expense alone. In addition, the class must be taught by the police, so if a police force wanted to discriminate against a certain racial segment, the urban police could choose to make the class offerings scarce or non-existent, meaning that if a poor black person in an urban setting wished to buy a firearm, they would have to travel to a suburban or rural police station for training.

The police can also demand any information that they wish, and such an opportunity to apply the law more harshly in one neighborhood than another could easily result in a racial bias

against applicants. There is also no limit to the cost of the class, so the police could charge so much that only very wealthy people could afford to get a permit to carry guns.

This initiative begins with the assumption that if all gun owners have some certain level of class instruction, then it will reduce the number of gun deaths. I don't see how this would reduce the number of suicides by gun as a person using a gun to commit suicide is not concerned with obeying any laws. So the basis of this initiative, that a class will reduce gun deaths, is completely false and cannot be supported by any crime data whatsoever. The intent is simply to disarm honest citizens who are legally allowed under the Constitution to own a firearm for self protection.

While this initiative seems well intentioned on the surface, it needs to be better thought out so that all races and all economic classes have the same opportunity to gain a permit. Otherwise it becomes a law that may not be applied equally and that violates the Constitution, in addition to the second amendment which states that the right to own a gun shall not be infringed.

By requiring expensive and scarce classes to be attended and passed, the right of a person is infringed, so in the end after all the effort and money to be spent on this initiative, the Supreme Court will eventually strike down the initiative anyway.

It would be far better to provide adequate armed security in schools, malls and public places in the same manner as airport

security or security for sporting events is handled. If the laws against murder are not sufficient to stop a shooter, then why would any sane person think that requiring the criminal to get a permit will stop a shooter? It won't, and criminals will always have access to black market guns. In the end this measure disarms the poor, law abiding citizen and will make it easier for criminals to kill people, thus increasing rather than reducing crimes and shootings.

--

Alex Paul

From: Stephen Shellans <sshellans@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:15 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Comments

I would like to make the following comments regarding IP 17.

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Thank you,

Stephen Shellans

Wilsonville

From: Kevin Camp <kevindavidcamp@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:29 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17: Racial Impact Statement

Racial Impact Committee,

Portland will have the most expensive, longest wait and difficult process if they choose to handle these live fire drills at all. Minorities will therefore disproportionately need to take time off work, own a car and make possibly dozens of trips to rural Oregon to get a permit. Police will likely make people wait forever if they support Black Lives Matter on their social media, if they are coming from out of town (disproportionally minorities), if they are registered democrats (publicly available online) and if they are Black.

Respectfully,
Kevin Camp
Hillsboro, OR

"Dr. MLK Jr. was not a man of good moral character and his gun permit is denied."

-Alabama Police, Department of Gun Permits 1956

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/jan/20/martin-luther-king-guns-pacifism>

From: JEFF SENGER <jmsenger.51@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:29 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17

The measure requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

The [existing racial statement](#) covers none of these issues.

From: Scott Verhines <verhinesscott@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:34 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Ip 17

Sent from my iPhone. from what I understand IP 17 will do nothing to reduce violence in our country. It will however reduce the ability for citizens to protect themselves in a environment of defunding of law-enforcement. There’s no mention of funding for Law enforcements ability to provide for live fire training. Also a woman with a restraining order has no ability to acquire a firearm for her and her family’s defense without a lengthy training and background check. Also most magazines have no markings or serial numbers on them at all how Will law enforcement know whether a magazine was purchased 10 years ago or 10 minutes ago it’s unenforceable. Also the law will unfortunately affect minorities and women low income households Who have no money for these fees and licenses? How will one qualify during a live fire exercise to purchase a firearm that they do not currently own? Also there are many firearms 22 rifles also 12 gauge shotguns that are in common use that will be banned under this legislation. I’m so sorry but I cannot vote yes on IP 17. I’m sorry for the violence in our country today but I believe this is not the solution.

From: Barlowjmb <barlowjmb@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:34 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 comment

Hello,

My name is John Barlow and I wanted to comment on the racial and ethnic impact that this measure will have.

If IP17 passes it will require that a person take a "class" before a person will be able to apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm.

As this class will require live fire training I fear that low income urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved "class."

This required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If police in minority areas chose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at their own cost.

This measure will give police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit". This power has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a "permit" and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. Currently even the co-sponsors of the measure have admitted that they have no answers for these concerns.

I fear these issues are sure to impact low income communities in Oregon disproportionately.

Please consider these issues,

Respectfully,

John Barlow.

From: Kevin Hamell <kevinmhamell@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:35 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Ip17

Hello.

I would like to comet on ip17

There has not been any Financial look in how this is going to affect the lower income people of the state. Where the police if they don't want to put the class in the lower income areas then they would have to travel longer distance to have the classes. And then they have less chance of getting a concealed weapons permit when they feel the need for it to protect their families.

Thank you
Kevinmhamell

Sent from my iPhone

From: Keith Silver <kssk195@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:41 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Could not be applied equally to ALL it is unfair

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the

"class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Sincerely,

Keith

-----Original Message-----

From: John T <strider500@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 7:01 PM

To: elections.sos@sos.oregon.gov.

Subject: Bill 1p 17 comments

Elections, SOS,

The gun control Bill 17 affects all races, minorities as well as majority races, and here is the reason why. All races have this in common, they all contain senior citizens. Many senior citizens no longer drive, and depend on others for their transportation and other needs.

This bill would impose an illegal hardship on senior citizens to exercise their 2nd Amendment Rights, to obtain a weapon, to be secure and safe in their homes, against the large criminal element in society, who would find unarmed senior citizens easy prey.

This bill is an invitation for criminals to target senior citizens.

Is this the Bill's sponsors intent?

John Taft,
500 Bull Creek Road,
Grants Pass, Oregon 97527

--

From: Andrew Weatherby <aweatherby23@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:51 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17 Concerns

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Sincerely,

Andrew Weatherby

From: T. M. <delosfox@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 7:41 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17 and potential impacts to Black communities and other communities of color.

To Whom It May Concern:

As noted by the Secretary of State:

The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission (CJC) received a written request from a member of the Legislative Assembly from each major political party requesting a racial and ethnic impact statement pursuant to ORS 137.685 for Initiative Petition 17 that is related to crime and likely to have an effect on the criminal justice system. The CJC is responsible for preparing an impartial, simple, and understandable statement explaining the racial and ethnic impact. Basically the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission needs to hear from the public about the potential impacts of the ballot measure on different racial communities.

A few points about how this measure is almost sure to have a massive negative impact on Black and communities of color.

The measure requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

The existing racial statement covers none of these issues or concerns.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Todd Miller

From: A D <crujones007@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:09 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17 racial and ethnic impact

Requiring permits and training will increase the cost for people to purchase a firearm to protect themselves. BIPOC folks are often disproportionately at a lower income status than other groups. These cost increases may not be prohibitive to other groups but these increases will lead to BIPOC folks being less able to afford a means to protect themselves and their families.

You may ask why they would need to protect themselves. BIPOC folks also often disproportionately live in areas with higher violent crime rates. Yes they have the police to help protect them but their experiences likely make it difficult to trust the police or ask for help.

This law will harm BIPOC communities as much or more than it would help them.

Thank you.

From: Bruce Evans <volnay55@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:07 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 impact among minorities & the poor

IP 17 has many sections in it that will unfairly impact the poor and people of color in all communities in Oregon especially in the cities.

How are those struggling already with high energy , food, & gas prices going to get training? How are they going to find not only trainers but a live fire ranges as required? Some have a distrust of the Police who are already short staffed so they may feel they are less likely to be approved. There are no measures for expedited in cases of fear if someone who might injure them has a restraining order, or is let out of prison by the new no bail law. There are many unanswered questions that will impact those on fixed incomes as well as minorities on how anyone will get an approval in an acceptable amount of time. The local police and sheriffs departments are already short staffed and none to my knowledge none have any idea if how, any of the training, range or other requirements are to be met. This seems like an idea to prevent low income and minorities from having a firearm for home protection. At a time when police are taking more time to respond. Increased rates of crime especially in minority areas this appears to be Not thought out at best, and racist by actions if not by intention.

Bruce K. Evans

Bend, Oregon

First Vice Chairman, Washington DC Legislative Board Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Local Chairman Division 14, DC, VA,NC,SC,MD,PA

Retired

-----Original Message-----

From: Janet Carpenter <janet.carpenter7@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:31 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Good evening,

I am a 62 year old Native American woman who has retired from public service after 22 years. I live in a very rural area with no immediate response from local police and fire although this is not due to the police or fire it's just the proximity of my home. I also have a CCL. I feel in order to protect myself I must have the option to carry whatever firearm I feel is needed to protect myself.

I fully understand the rules and laws that are required of me to carry and use a personal firearm. I have undergone substantial training and practice. I am confident in my abilities to use whatever firearm I feel is necessary for my protection in any situation. Thank goodness I have not been in the position to have to utilize my training to do so. But, if and when the time comes it would be detrimental to myself and my well being to have to limit myself on what firearm I could use.

I have purchased multiple firearms over the years and very few have a 10 bullet magazine.

Please do your do diligence before moving forward on this issue as it could negatively affect myself, native Americans and many other rural property owners safety and well-being.

Best regards,
Janet Carpenter

Sent from my iPhone

From: Erik Shackelford <65-impala@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:32 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Requiring a class that includes live fire training can be cost prohibitive to working families and especially those with low incomes. If implemented, classes will be full for months if not years. In some cases, these classes may not exist in entire sections of the state.

Historically, gun permits have been used by local police departments across the nation to prevent certain minorities from defending themselves. Self-defense is a right that all races deserve.

This ballot measure directly endangers the general public by infringing on the right to bear arms that is protected in the 2nd amendment. The state of Oregon must follow the Constitution and protect everyone's rights, free of infringement.

Thank you for your consideration.

Erik Shackelford
Molalla, OR

From: Damian Bunting <safeportsecure@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:40 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Racial Impact Statement Initiative Petition 17

To whom it may concern.

My name is Damian Bunting, and I am a certified DPSST Armed Security Guard in Portland. I'm also a black disabled veteran. I have been following the progress of IP17 for many months, and as I have come to understand the nuance and particulars of this initiative my concerns have only gotten worse.

Lift Every Voice Oregon, specifically Reverend Dr. Mark Knutson, speak often of their mission of equity and equality however, this bill should it be passed will not only create very real problems for those in my profession, but unnecessary barriers and hurdles to those in my community.

As a security guard, I currently work for the Kroger company. Over the last year and a half Kroger has experienced three mass shootings in three states. IP17 with its magazine capacity restrictions and limits would directly place officers in danger of being under equipped. Response times in Portland reach an upward of thirty minutes, and the thought of being at the mercy of a mass shooter is terrifying.

There is currently no provision for security or to my knowledge even police to be exempt. To be an armed guard I already have to go through 40 hours of instruction, live fire certification, yearly qualification, FBI fingerprinting and background checks. The "license to purchase" requirements are not only redundant, but would place any armed guard in a position of not being able to work if for any reason there was damage to their firearm, because the ability to purchase another one would be at the time it takes to fulfill the process.

In terms of equality and equity, the unnecessary hurdles these redundant and arbitrary requirements would place on the black community are extremely problematic. There is an already strained and uncomfortable relationship within the black community and the police, and allowing for the initiative to place the issuance of said license in the hands of what some would say, is a systemically flawed institution regarding race relations creates a wide opportunity for misuse and accusations of impropriety. Are counties and Municipalities ready for the onslaught of negative perception from black citizens that feel they are further being discriminated against in yet another instance?

My concern is that where this initiative may be well intentioned, it lacks the forethought of how it will not only infringe on the rights of law abiding citizens, but unjustly disenfranchise already marginalized communities of color, and people trying to make an honest living. Please do not support this, or allow it to become law.

Respectfully,

Damian Bunting

From: David Lowery <daviddlowery@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:46 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Comments

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low-income communities disproportionately.

From: Wade Kearn <wadekearn@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:53 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Initiative Petition 17

Initiative Petition 17 will have a significant impact on minorities for the following reasons:

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

Sincerely,

Wade Kearn

Hillsboro, OR

From: Beckers@zzz.com <beckers@zzz.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:27 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

With regards to the impact of IP 17 on minority and low income communities, the measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class. The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense. The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse

and can be applied selectively. There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Respectfully,

Derek M. Becker

503-720-5626

From: tucumcary2000-dakota@yahoo.com <tucumcary2000-dakota@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:40 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Initiative Petition 17 in regard to the ballot measure

In regard to the language of the ballot measure and in response to IP 17, there are multiple instances where the impact to minorities is likely to be severe and to create many hardships in order for them to fulfill its ambiguous requirements.

For example:

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit as well as having no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required.

At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns.

If the sponsors have no answers to these simple questions, then who will intervene on behalf of the minorities? The answer of course is that they will surely suffer at the hand of ambiguity of this measure and again be disfavored under the law.

Respectfully,

Dakota Otto

Corvallis OR

From: Kyle <kylehshroy@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:42 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

Hello,

The measure IP 17 requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure also gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately while allowing the wealthy and privileged unfettered access.

Thank you,

Kyle Shroy

Central Point, 97502

From: Ryan Lund <ryanchristopherlund@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:55 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 racial and ethnic impact statement

IP 17 doesn't include reporting requirements that would allow assessment of whether the proposed permitting and electronic database system are administered in an equitable manner. The language in section 4(2)(c) falls far short of obligating anyone to gather, manage, and report such information. Furthermore, that section includes no requirement to assess the equity of the permit application requirements-- by only recording engagements once permits received, it leaves the state blind to inequities in the process of completing and successfully filing a permit application. Citizens may be discriminated against by the permitting agent/designee, ability to complete the required training, ability to secure the required identification, ability to complete payment, possession of an address and telephone number, etc. These inequities would never come to light because there is inadequate accountability in the measure.

Ryan Lund

Gresham

From: eric trauman <eric.trauman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 10:55 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 COMMENT

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

From: Steve Daiker <stevenpangus@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 11:44 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Cc: Steve Daiker <stevenpangus@hotmail.com>
Subject: IP 17

If this measure passes, it will have a severe negative impact on minorities, for the reasons below:

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class. The live fire requirement will be expensive considering the scarcity and cost of ammunition.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Respectfully,

Steve Daiker

Beavercreek, Oregon 97004

From: jpurvine@comcast.net <jpurvine@comcast.net>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:23 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: CJC Input Re: IP 17

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire and low income, urban residents will have the most difficulty finding an approved class.

Police or those approved by police must teach the required "class." If the police in minority areas choose not to provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a course far from their homes at an exorbitant expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and no limits on the cost for the required "class." These issues are sure to impact low-income communities disproportionately. At this time, even the measure's sponsors have admitted they have no answers for these concerns.

From: Gerald Robertson <avman7@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:25 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Measure IP 17 racial and ethnic impact

This measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

This required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

This measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Gerald Robertson

Medford OR 97501

From: ktdomina <ktdomina@frontier.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:25 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 impact on Asian communities.

Over the last 2 years we have seen on the NEWS instances of attacks on people of Asian descent. Some of the older Asians still speak mostly their native language. Will firearm classes be taught in multiple languages?

From: DRazzle <dprandall@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:26 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Concerns

Hello,

Below I've listed several key points that I've yet to hear addressed that are of concern in regards to IP 17. In addition to these points, it's clearly a violation of the 2nd Amendment and will only further erode the citizens of Oregon's trust in our leadership should it pass. I ask that you please consider these points and make the decision to uphold our Constitutional rights.

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Respectfully,

-Andrew Randall

From: Nicholas Ekblad <nickekblad@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:28 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

IP 17 requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

The [existing racial statement](#) covers none of these issues.

Nicholas Ekblad
Elementary School Teacher
Hermiston, OR

From: Sharron Brown-O'Roke <reeny34@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:30 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Gun legislation

You seem to be eager to disarm law-abiding citizens with your nonsense, but how will this legislation stop criminals from attaining and using firearms to commit crimes? And by the way, there is no such thing as "gun violence". Violence is committed by people. People who use whatever weapon they deem necessary to commit crime. Knives, hammers, rocks, etc. How will you legislate those weapons? I've owned guns for many, many years and not once has any one of them committed an act of violence. Try to be smarter.

Sharron Brown-O'Roke

From: Mike <jmlanier@charter.net>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:44 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Response to request for a "Racial and ethnic impact statement" for IP17

Begin forwarded message: As a veteran, former law enforcement associate and a consultant for over 35 years, I would like to address the 'request' for an ethnic and racial impact statement on IP17.

First, IP17 requires a 'class' before applying for a 'permit' to purchase a firearm. As this class, according to the draft Petition, requires live fire demonstrations, many urban residents, particularly those in low income areas, will most certainly have a very difficult time finding an approved class. Gun clubs and ranges are few and far between in most major cities in Oregon.

Secondly, the required class MUST be taught by local police, or those 'approved' by local police. If police departments in small towns throughout Oregon, or in minority areas, choose to simply not provide the class, or, more importantly do not have staffing or ability to perform the class, minority and low income residents will be significantly disenfranchised. The IP is not clear if a resident of Medford, for example, can take a class in Portland, or vice versa. This is a significant issue for low income and minorities who have transportation issues.

More importantly, the IP gives police UNLIMITED ability to require any information they want while approving an application for a 'permit'. This level of discretion can be willfully abused, and applied indiscriminately; Tigard Police could be very flexible, and Portland Police inflexible. Additionally, there are no statutory time limits for the multiple background checks required for a 'permit', and no limits on costs for the 'class.' Some private instructors here in Oregon currently charge as much as \$1000 for a two or three day firearms training class! This issue will certainly negatively impact low income families.

Mike LaNier

155 Suncrest Road

Talent, OR 97540

From: maddcatt1 <maddcatt1@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:50 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Gun bill

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

From: Steve McCall <fishingfool380@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:50 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject:

This new gun bill places extremely unreasonable restrictions on law abiding citizens. Making it extremely difficult for people to obtain a weapon in order to defend themselves as well as collecting for pleasure or investment purposes. There is no guarantee that our small sheriff offices could even supply the permit process and training. This bill goes in direct opposition to the 2nd amendment and the constitution

From: Z C <zachcaster9@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:57 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public comment to IP-17

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can *apply* for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training. For first time gun buyers this could well require that you have a gun before you can get a permit to buy a gun.

There are no exceptions for the permit to purchase requirement for police or Federally licensed gun dealers.

The “permitting agent” can demand “any additional information” to issue the permit opening up endless opportunities for abuses.

The measure only allows those *approved by police* to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow *could* complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a *permit*, there are no caps on the costs for the required *training*, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after a background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a *public list* of persons who attempt to purchase firearms. Victims of domestic violence will be at risk for all their private information being made public along with their efforts to purchase a firearm for self defense.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the state police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

So as you see IP17 puts people in danger. On top of that it is a unconstitutional bill according to Newyork rifle and pistol association vs brue

We demand that the bill show allows of above info and please invalidate this bill and uphold your oath or you will not be vote back into office

-Zachary Caster

From: ramsdalm@aol.com <ramsdalm@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:16 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Cc: ramsdalm@aol.com
Subject: IP17

I am writing this as I believe without a doubt that IP17 will effect Minority and ethnic groups along with disable and poor people by denying the ability to be able to purchase a firearm a Constitutional right to defend themselves due to this crazy notion that one must ask permission to obtain a permit to purchase a firearm with no limit on how much it will cost denying them there right which the United State Supreme Court once again ruled on and things like IP17 being unconstitutional. This is nothing but an attempt to deny those who are not wealthy or part of an elite class the right to defend themselves. Further more allowing radical anti American groups like lift every voice to put out information of people to the public on who has purchased a firearm which will only incite violence against those people which will highly effect disabled people the most.and is against people privacy. Also mag bans have been ruled unconstitutional by federal courts and the 9th circuit court in which Oregon falls under. This petition should have never been allowed to make it this far and if allowed and passed will cost tax payers in Oregon millions to implement and defend in court.

Thank you
Michael Ramsdal

From: Stephen Rapalyea <roxandsteve45@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:18 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17

This initiative is a total disaster for firearms owners of all races and is extremely unconstitutional. At what time in history do you need a license to exercise your God given rights?Further this will put law abiding citizens at great disadvantage to criminals who by definition do not obey the law.

Stephen Rapalyea

PO box 805

Chiloquin,OR 97624

Ph 541-591-3041

From: Matt Kennedy <mkennedy556@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:34 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17

IP17 restricts access to 2nd amendment protections of low income minorities. IP17 will increase costs to own a firearm and limit the availability of approved courses to own a firearm. IP17 is insensitive to low income minorities.

Mr. Kennedy

From: hebrewlynda <hebrewlynda@frontier.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:43 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

where in this is the USA Constitutions statement

"the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed"??

Phil and Lynda Peterson

From: klaus486@gmail.com <klaus486@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:44 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17 racial impact

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

From: rayrita <rayrita@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:56 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: ip 17

there is so much bad about this measure but just 1 is training required with no training available.

ray

From: gravesjw1948@gmail.com <gravesjw1948@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 6:58 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Cc: John W. Graves <gravesjw1948@gmail.com>
Subject: IP-17 Citizen input on impacts to racial communities and minorities

07.25.2022

The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission has requested to hear from the public about the potential impacts of the proposed IP-17the ballot measure on different racial communities. Here are my thoughts on this measure. I believe it as certain to a massive negative impact on minorities. My thoughts on this issue follow:

The measure requires a “class” before a person can apply for a “permit” to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required “class” must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a “permit.” This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively which is unconstitutional.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and no limits on the cost for the “class” that is required. At this time the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

The existing racial statement covers none of these issues.

I am a 5th generation Oregonian. I am a US Army veteran. I vote independent and I vote. This measure does not meet the requirements of a complete measure that allows the citizens of this state to understand it's impacts. Provisions required by the measure are not solved. Who decides the content of the “class”; who are the teachers, how is the measures requirements and follow up being funded; what about the measure requirements that are in direct violation of existing laws, constitutional rights, freedoms, and liberties of the citizens. I am ashamed our legislators, and the sponsors and supporters of this bill, are bent on assuring their AGENDA is attained regardless of the impacts to the law abiding citizens' rights, freedoms, and liberties. Problem solving 101 requires Identification of the problem, discussion & analysis of each possible solutions, all of which should maintain law abiding citizens' rights, liberties, and freedoms, and then implement that plan. This measure does not accomplish ANY of these requirements on so many fronts.

Thank you,

John W. Graves

gravesjw1948@gmail.com

From: Bruce Anderson <bruce1891cc@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 7:03 PM

To: elections.sos@sos.oregon.gov.

Subject: IP 17

I would like to comment on *the racial and ethnic impact statement for IP 17* .

Regarding the required class: Our police departments don't have enough officers to respond to crimes being committed or solving crimes already committed, IP 17 will place added burdens on an under staffed agency of government. This is going to take officers off the streets where they are needed.

Regarding the background checks that are needed. Our INSTANT background check system currently takes 4 to 6 days to complete. If this measure is passed It could take a month or more to get approved. This is an unneeded hardship, especially for minorities and low income persons, and they are the groups that need personal protection.

From: Willie 'Qwiktree' Bonillas <qwiktree@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 7:04 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

This measure, IP 17, requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

--

Peace

From: Scott Smith <bronco1968@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 7:24 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17

I am concerned that if this becomes law, it will disproportionately affect me, other minorities, and low income people of oregon

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

-Scott

From: teckaccount@gmail.com <teckaccount@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:32 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

Good Afternoon,

I am writing because of some of the concerns I have over IP 17

The measure requires a "class" before a person can apply for a "permit" to purchase a firearm. Since this class requires live fire, low income, urban residents will have the hardest time finding an approved class.

The required "class" must be taught by police or those approved by police. If the police in minority areas choose to simply not provide the class, minority residents will be required to find a class far from their home at unlimited expense.

The measure gives police unlimited ability to require any information they want when approving a person for a "permit." This discretion has enormous potential for abuse and can be applied selectively.

There are no time limits on the multiple background checks required for a permit and there are no limits on the cost for the "class" that is required. At this time even the sponsors of the measure have admitted they have no answers for these concerns. These issues are certain to impact low income communities disproportionately.

Thank you for your time

Kyle Tecmire

1112 Char Way

Medford, OR

97501

-----Original Message-----

From: John Cowan <dora@teleport.com>

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 8:36 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

As regards the minority group effects of IP17 please review the statistics of black people and crime, both as victims and as perpetrators.

The carceral state is eating this group alive, through poverty, the war on some drug users and the welfare state erosion of their family culture.

The measure in discussion will result in a disproportionate rate of imprisonment among the impoverished by erecting economic and procedural barriers and jail time for obscure and technical violations. Blacks, like any other segment of the population wish to protect themselves from the criminality around them. Firearms are what is called for, this measure makes it harder for any person (and name any minority group that will more easily perform legitimate armed defense due to this measure) but especially blacks to protect themselves.

In short blacks and any impoverished person will bear the cost of this measure for no legitimate purpose.

From: David Yeager <david_w_yeager@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 9:11 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

This is in direct violation of the U.S. constitution!!

SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED

DEMOCRATS cannot comprehend those words, or changed the definition to fit their AGENDA. TOTAL CONTROL AND ENSLAVEMENT of any who oppose!!!