

Comments Received to IP 17, Friday, July 22, 2022

From: Roy Pierce <rm Pierce056@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 8:52 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Current gun ban legislation.

This is unneeded and I'll advised as it does nothing except penalize lawful gun owners. Please do not burden already overworked and I'll funded departments. Oregon has a long history of rushing over the edge like lemmings. Do not repeat these mistakes with this bill.

From: Roy Pierce <rm Pierce056@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 8:55 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Current magazine restriction bill

This injures law abiding sporting citizens the very verbiage precludes the purchase of common sporting arms. The current look language is to broad.

-----Original Message-----

From: Debi M Huyssoon <dmhuyssoon@wscott.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 8:12 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Against gun & magazine bans!

I am against all and any gun or magazine bans. I feel citizens have the right to bear arms to protect ourselves against those that would bring harm to me or my family. This ban will only harm law abiding citizens and do nothing to stop violent crimes that are omnipresent these days. The bad guys with guns shouldn't have guns, but they do! So, the good guys need to have the ability to fight them until help arrives.

Debi M. Huyssoon

Confidentiality Notice: This email message may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message by mistake, please do not review, disclose, copy, or distribute the email. Instead, please notify us immediately by replying to this message or telephoning us. Thank you.

From: AT&T Online Service <lon-b@att.net>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 3:56 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Regarding the proposed restriction prohibiting the purchase of tubular style shotguns that allegedly hold ten or more shot shell cartridges:

For those that are not familiar with the tubular style shotguns and the amount of shot shell cartridges they are able to hold. The majority of new and even older shotgun with tubular magazines only hold a total of five or three shot shell cartridges, and those guns that allow for a total of five cartridges are required by Federal law requiring only three cartridges when used to hunt waterfowl, the majority of all new style shotguns only hold two cartridges in the magazine. Shotguns can always be modified but any modification would occur after the original purchase of a shotgun equipped with tubular magazines. So to prevent the purchase of new shotguns equipped with tubular magazines would not be prudent.

Also requiring permits to purchase new shotgun would conflict with our Nation's constitution and would also create an administrative problem and place a undue burden on our law enforcement community and one they are not equipped to undertake.

The shotguns you are considering for restriction are used by thousands of sportsman in their chosen recreation pursuit of target competition, upland and waterfowl hunting. Safety concerns might be better served concerning unlawful modification of subject firearms.

Respectfully

Lonnie Branstetter

BPSST #00245 retired

FBINA #129 retired

503-678-5115

lon-b@att.net

From: charlie rouse <charlie_rouse@hotmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 3:35 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

I urge you to consider the following ramifications of IP 17:

1. Youth shooting sports will be negatively affected. Youth shooting sports are the most effective way to produce responsible firearm owners.
2. Low income families and families of color will have a more difficult process to go through, to defend themselves and their families. Generally, these are the people that need it the most. Making self-defense more difficult in these areas will
3. Law enforcement is not staffed or funded to do these things. Further degrading police services at this time is unwise.

4. A public list of firearm owners will direct criminals to homes that have firearms and more firearm thefts will occur. Houses will be cased and targeted for burglary.

I strongly encourage the inclusion of the full text of this measure in the voter's pamphlet. The citizens have a right to know what they are voting on.

Thank you.

Charlie Rouse

From: Steve Pegram <sspegam@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 2:20 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP-7

This bill will have disastrous effects on Oregonians and creates substantial unfunded mandates for local and State law enforcement. The bill does the following and I request that this be pointed out on any election materials, guides, etc and the ballot itself.

Also, given the two recent SCOTUS rulings it is unlikely to survive court scrutiny.

Please include the following information on all voter facing collateral and on the ballot:

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually

provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Thank you.

Semper Paratus,

Steve Pegram

3406 Cherry Ave NE

Keizer OR 97303

From: D. Qotsaisaw <biskinik@gmx.us>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:34 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17 Ballot Explanatory Statement Comments

To the Committee:

I'd like to point out the following facts that should be included in the ballot explanatory statement:

- 1. The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon**
- 2. The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.**
- 3. The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.**
- 4. There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.**
- 5. The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.**
- 6. While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.**
- 7. The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.**
- 8. The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check**

has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

9. **The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.**
10. **The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.**
11. **The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.**
12. **State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.**

These are substantially impacting facts of the measure that the public should be aware of.

Thank you,

David Qotsaisaw

Talent, OR

From: David Williams <williamsplanet@outlook.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 5:34 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Voter Pamphlet Information for IP17

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

From: Michael Kerwin <michaelakerwin@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 10:38 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17

Committee members.

I live in a rural area of Oregon. I have been a firearm owner for most of my life. My adult son is also an avid firearm enthusiast.

This measure, IP17, requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are no facilities that are available for this training in the small rural area that I live in.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase.

The measure will adversely affect thousands of law-abiding residents in Oregon. Please do not support this poorly written legislation.

Respectfully.

Mike Kerwin

1452 Grand Avenue

Astoria OR 97103

From: Aaron Hays <aaronjonathanhays@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:44 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Hands Off My Guns

Hands off my guns. This is a clear violation of the 2A and is completely unacceptable. Focus on what really matters like the homeless situation.

From: Jeff and Gail Green <jgreen@peak.org>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:22 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 Explanatory Statement

Please include the following facts about measure IP 17 in any statement published in the voter's guide. Thank you.

1) The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

2) The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

3) The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

4) There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

5) The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not

come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

6) While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

7) The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

8) The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

9) The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

10) The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

11) The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

12) State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

From: The Sherman Five <theshermanfive@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 10:40 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

I am against this initiative due to its constitutionally restrictive language and intentions for the reasons provided below.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Nic Sherman

From: Dean Kennedy <jeffmint@proaxis.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 9:50 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17, the gun and magazine ban initiative

Please include this in the voters pamphlet so that the voters can know what they are voting for.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO

penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

-----Original Message-----

From: Joel Krause <jakrause1@live.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 4:36 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP17

The explanatory language for IP17 should be clear that, in light of recent Supreme Court rulings, that IP17 is very likely unconstitutional and will require the State of Oregon will have to defend it in federal court. The language should be transparent about amount of tax payer money will be required to do so.

Sent from joel's iPhone

From: Dale Hitt <daleleehitt@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 3:58 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Measure of ballot voter's guide information -- IP 17

July 22, 2022

Office of the
Oregon Secretary of State
Elections Department,

IN RE: IP 17

Please include in any statement published in/for/as the voter's guide, the following information.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved without judicially reviewed compensation.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police. There are no provisions that prevent discrimination of honest services.

The measure requires a prophetic public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the, rarely used, transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Institutes second amendment constitutional infringements, while burdening state, with security consequences, leaves voter impaired abilities to compensate for the lack of trust.

Thank you for your considerations.

Sincerely,

DL Hitt

daleleehitt@yahoo.com

From: David Miller <westovermiller@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:31 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

I am writing to you out of deep concern for IP 17. This petition is trying to do a good thing but is going about it the wrong way. In order to quell the violence in Portland we must look at the inadequacies of the city and the lack of hope everyone has right now. Taking a handful of rounds out of someone's gun is not going to fix that. This petition is only affecting people who have no connection to the violence to begin with and are law abiding citizens who have followed

the letter of the law they're whole lives in order to possess the firearms they do. I know everyone's tired of the violence in Portland, but IP 17 misses the mark completely! It will not spare a single life, nor will it make Portland a safer place. I hope calmer heads prevail in this situation because it would truly be a travesty to the rights of Oregonians everywhere. Thank you for your time

Sent from my iPad

From: Bruno Jagelski <bjagelski@yturrirose.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 3:21 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Ballot measure comments and requests

Dear Committee,

Please include the following statements or some likeness thereof in the ballot measure explanation. Thank you.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Many shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can *apply* for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training. For first time gun buyers this could well require that you have a gun before you can get a permit to buy a gun.

There are no exceptions for the permit to purchase requirement for police or Federally licensed gun dealers.

The “permitting agent” can demand “any additional information” to issue the permit opening up endless opportunities for abuses.

The measure only allows those *approved by police* to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not timely responding to many violent crimes.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow *could* complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a *permit*, there are no caps on the costs for the required *training*, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after a background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and no remedy if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a *public list* of persons who attempt to purchase firearms. This creates safety and privacy concerns for all gun purchasers, For example - Victims of domestic violence and other susceptible groups will be at risk for all their private information being made public along with their efforts to purchase a firearm for self-defense.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at a time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard

that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check. State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the state police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So, a single mom with threats against her and her children (or any other qualified buyer) may not be able to get a home defense firearm in a timely manner.

The proposed legislation will undoubtedly prompt extensive costly litigation regarding the constitutionality of the restrictions on the right to bear arms.

Thank you,

Bruno J. Jagelski

From: nick v-m <nicorellius@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:36 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Comments on IP 17

Please note the following as they pertain to IP 17:

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually

provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at a time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

From: ROBERT HOLLISTER <rphollister@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:49 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17

Hello,

With regard to IP17, I respectfully request that the following items be addressed in language for the ballot. I am submitting this in advance to specific feedback on the proposed ballot item.

Broad points which need clarity:

Costs to Oregonians: This initiative should call out the costs to Oregonians for maintaining or administering this added process beyond mandatory background checks (as already required in Oregon.) Fees will not immediately cover the costs, and an assessment of cost to taxpayer needs to be transparent as part of this.

Demonstratable link the tile of safety to how this prevents criminals from attaining firearms.

To the specific provisions:

Training: there are multiple requirements for training (classroom/live fire) and there should be clarifications on the state's actual capacity to accommodate training by citizens without forcing an undue burden on those seeking to exercise their right to own firearms.

Licensing: There is significant redundancy in the requirements for a license to purchase vs. a concealed pistol license in Oregon. Please clarify if a concealed license will serve with rights to purchase or if Oregon is looking to introduce a parallel, redundant process for ownership.

Capacity: Capacity for the state to facilitate support of a citizen following the proposed process should either be identified or listed as an item to be developed at a later date.

List of purchasers: The Constitutionality of this will likely be successfully challenged, but until such time this group is obligated to state up front that the goal is to create a list maintained, and by whom. Federal registries of owners are illegal, and the State level may not survive a challenge per Bruen.

Magazine restrictions: The committee needs to acknowledge that the movements from the Supreme Court on existing cases on magazine and weapon bans have been vacated and remanded with a clarification on the tests that must be used to determine Constitutionally protected devices. This includes multiple cases where states have restricted magazine capacity. It would be responsible to annotate at the bottom of the language that this - as well as much of the rest - may be subject to legal challenges due to the most recent ruling from SCOTUS.

From: KEVIN C HAMBY Owner <kh45100@centurylink.net>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:58 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: ip 17

Absolute scam to end gun sales and ownership Total infringement upon 2A .Delusional left wing communist people do not understand this want change the hearts and minds of crimanals It will only empower them gun theft and black market on guns will be much worse. Police do not have the resources to check and test gun buyers nor will they.People will not be able to buy guns but criminals will sure steal them.! Our bill of rights is given by GOD not by this pathetic left wing government and police . Total infringement on 2A un acceptable.!!!

From: Jackie May <oldshepherd@protonmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:15 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: UNCONSTITUTIONAL!!!!!!

**VIOLATING AND USURPING THE OREGON
CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE 1, SECTION 27 AND THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ANY GUN LAWS**

AGAINST THE CITIZEN'S RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. WHAT DO YOU NOT UNDERSTAND????

NO NO NO NO NO!

From: David Miller <westovermiller@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:31 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

I am writing to you out of deep concern for IP 17. This petition is trying to do a good thing but is going about it the wrong way. In order to quell the violence in Portland we must look at the inadequacies of the city and the lack of hope everyone has right now. Taking a handful of rounds out of someone's gun is not going to fix that. This petition is only affecting people who have no connection to the violence to begin with and are law abiding citizens who have followed the letter of the law they're whole lives in order to possess the firearms they do. I know everyone's tired of the violence in Portland, but IP 17 misses the mark completely! It will not spare a single life, nor will it make Portland a safer place. I hope calmer heads prevail in this situation because it would truly be a travesty to the rights of Oregonians everywhere. Thank you for your time

Sent from my iPad

From: ampalmer8495 <ampalmer8495@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:33 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Our police across the state are already underfunded and understaffed, what measures in this ballot touch on the funding for the required live fire training that the measure requires??? How will the extra overtime be paid to the officers? At what cost is taxing our short staffed officers going to have on response times for our communities??

Taking away skeet shooting how will children who have an interest learn to safely handel firearms?

I am concerned

Amber Palmer

From: Ian Aquila <ian.aquila@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:24 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17 Language

Facts posed by this bill;;

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low-income and minority communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no

indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

These are all facts posed by this bill. Please consider using all of this language for the voters' guide.

Thank you,

From: Dootson Bryce <brrr_ice_69@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:48 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Facts about IP17

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

Respectfully,

Bryce Dootson

From: Anthony Fields <afields187@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:53 PM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Comments RE: IP 17 for explanatory statement committee review

Please accept these comments in reference to IP 17. They are intended for review by the Explanatory Statement Committee formed by the Secretary of State.

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low-income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no

indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to *buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

As a registered voter, veteran of the Marine Corps, and a law-abiding citizen of Oregon, I am wholly opposed to this measure, and am equally opposed to make up of the committee. The proponents of IP 17 get to pick their representatives on the committee, but the so-called "opponents" to the measure were picked by the anti-gun Secretary of State. No pro-gun organizations will be represented.

Respectfully,

Tony Fields
Milwaukie, Oregon

From: hallo0my60 <hallo0my60@protonmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:54 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: really

you keep attacking the second amendamet and law bidding citizens but do nothing about the voilence you let happen and still is happening in portland metro area.. YOU ATTACK OUR BELOVED POLICE YOU ATTACK ANYTHING YOU DO NOT WANT TO LISTEN TOO .. WE THE PEOPLE ARE TIRED OF THE OREGON SHIT HOLE YOU THE LEADERS HAVE DONE NO ON TAKING ANY GUN RIGHTS AWAY.. GO GET THE KNIFES OFF THE ANTIA AND THE REST OF THE WEAPONS FROM THE HOOD RATS ..OH THERE THE ONES THAT KATE BROWN NOSE LET OUT OF PRISON TO KEEP THE VOILENCE UP.. I SAY REMOVE THE GOVERNMENT WHO CAUSE THE PROBLEMS .. OREGON HAS BECOME SOICALIST STATE COMMIST STATE .. I HATE IT HERE AND AS THE REST WILL MOVE .. BUT NO WORRIES FOR OREGON .. BRING MORE ILLGEAS IN MULT COUNTY ALL ILLGEALS VOTE IN USA ELECTIONS .. MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FOR WHAT THE FUCK .. WHITE SUPREMCY .. AS THERE IS A ISSUE ... NO THERE IS A ISSUE OF BROTHER MUSLIM HOOD IN ALL OUR GOVERMENT IN OREGON..

From: dave neil <scorpion23572020@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 12:55 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17

Are all the extra fees and charges paid for by the State since a lot of us will be unable to meet the financial part of ip 17? And we don't even know what the fees will be yet except it won't be cheap, when the training can be had at all.

I would almost say gun control by attrition but we know better then that...don't we? This will probably never be read by the people that should read it and I'll get a form letter thanking me for commenting.

From: Jim G <jim6br@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:45 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 impacts my second amendment rights!

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or

manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

J Gallant

From: Jim Gallant <ci@arpc.info>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:45 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 impacts my second amendment rights!

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff’s Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by “law enforcement approved” trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the “impact of suicide on the country as a whole.” There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO

penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Jim Gallant

Chief Instructor, ARPC

541-740-7635

From: Tim Weedeman <timweedeman@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:54 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: Gun bans

We don't need to ban guns, magazines or to stop the sale of anything. we need to ban Government and Crime. OH yeah we have too much of both of those and you have done nothing to stop either.

Clearly you don't listen to What the People in Oregon want and need so come election time you will be looking for new jobs and with luck you will wind up being homeless.

Tim Weedeman, Patriotic American Citizen

From: Jim Gallant <james.gallant@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:43 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP 17 impacts my second amendment rights!

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

From: Browning, Scott <Scott.Browning@nwcch.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:34 AM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: IP17 Comments

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 “mini shells” making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required “training” to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or

manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to *apply* for permission from the Oregon State Police simply *to buy* a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Gregory Day <gregoryd@roguefirm.com>

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 9:54 AM

To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>

Subject: IP 17

The measure outright bans the new purchase of most sporting shotguns because of the magazine capacity limit. Most shotguns which have tubular magazines can hold more than 10 "mini shells" making them illegal to purchase. This will have a profound effect on youth trap and skeet shooting in Oregon.

The measure requires live fire training before a person can apply for a permit to purchase a firearm. There are virtually no facilities that will be available for this training.

The measure only allows those approved by police to provide the required "training" to apply for a permit. Police in Oregon are underfunded and understaffed. There is no plan in place to actually provide any training and virtually no rural police have the facilities or manpower to provide classes. Police in urban areas are already not responding to most violent crimes.

There are no exceptions for the required permit for Federally licensed dealers or law enforcement.

The Oregon State Sheriff's Association has estimated that if a person somehow could complete the required training, the permitting process would cost sheriffs almost \$40,000,000.00 annually. There is nothing in the measure that provides

any funding and the fees included would not come close to covering the costs. There is no estimate on the cost or impact on small local police departments.

While the measure caps the cost for a permit, there are no caps on the costs for the required training, which is unlikely to be available anyway. This will mostly affect low income communities.

The measure requires that the required class (taught only by "law enforcement approved" trainers) include training on state and federal law, transfers and storage, and the "impact of suicide on the country as a whole." There is no indication of who would be qualified to instruct on these issues or how they become approved.

The measure requires that a sheriff or local police department issue a permit within 30 days after an approved background check has been completed by the State Police. But there is NO limit on how long the State Police can take to complete the background check and NO penalties if they do not complete it. There are no estimates of the cost of these checks to the State Police.

The measure requires a public list of persons who attempt to purchase firearms.

The measure creates a whole new category of victimless crimes at time when the police are grossly underfunded and real criminals are being released onto our streets.

The measure requires a permit from local police (which may be impossible to get) just to apply for permission from the Oregon State Police simply to buy a firearm. But it also removes the one safeguard that protects gun buyers if the State Police do not complete their background check.

State and Federal law allow the transfer of a firearm if the State Police don't complete a background check in 3 business days. This measure removes that safeguard. So a single mom with threats against her and her children could literally wait forever to get permission to get a home defense firearm.

Gregory T. Day
Davis, Freudenberg, Day, Driver & Fournier
600 NW Fifth St.
Grants Pass, OR 97526
T: (541) 476-6627
F: (541) 476-7048
gregoryd@roguefirm.com

In accordance with ORCP 9 G, Davis Freudenberg Day & Driver do not accept service of legal documents by email absent a specific agreement to the contrary on a case by case basis.

The contents of this email and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee named in this message and are to remain confidential and may be subject to attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or this message has been addressed to you in error, kindly alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. Please do not deliver, distribute or copy this message or its attachments nor disclose the contents if you are not the intended recipient. Thank you.

From: ryan P M <ryansledworld@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 1:37 PM
To: SOS Elections * SOS <Elections.SOS@sos.oregon.gov>
Subject: Ip17

Anyone who thinks voters can be used as pawns to eliminate others rights is wrong.

Anyone in the state legislature who tries to usurp federal laws and the people's rights will surely face some serious consequences. But most of them feel exempt from the backlash because they are willing to send police officers to do their criminal bidding. They don't care about those officers families or their families mental health.

As the secretary of state, you decided to create a committee to do just that. You also created a very biased committee to ensure it goes forward. To that end I say tow the line. You. You go out and stop hiding behind others and stop risking the lives of your law enforcement crooks. So you can get some free Mammon from donors to keep sucking the state tit.

Find a bridge and jump off it. That would be a great contribution to the people of the state.