

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

United States President and Vice President

Vote for one

Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Robert F Kennedy Jr | Nicole Shanahan | We The People |
| Cornel West | | Progressive |
| Chase Oliver | Mike ter Maat | Libertarian |
| Randall Terry | | Constitution |
| Donald J Trump | JD Vance | Republican |
| Jill Stein | Rudolph Ware | Pacific Green |
| Kamala D Harris | Tim Walz | Democrat |

US Representative, 1st District

Vote for one

| | |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Suzanne Bonamici | Democrat |
| Bob Todd | Republican |
| Joe Christman | Libertarian |

US Representative, 2nd District

Vote for one

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Cliff Bentz | Republican |
| Michael Kurt Stettler | Constitution |
| Dan Ruby | Democrat, Progressive |

US Representative, 3rd District

Vote for one

| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| David W Walker | Independent, Progressive |
| Joe Meyer | Pacific Green |
| David K Frosch | Constitution |
| Joanna Harbour | Republican |
| Maxine E Dexter | Democrat |

US Representative, 4th District

Vote for one

| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Dan Bahlen | Libertarian |
| Justin Filip | Pacific Green |
| Val Hoyle | Democrat |
| Monique DeSpain | Republican, Constitution |

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

US Representative, 5th District Vote for one

Janelle S Bynum Democrat
Andrea Thorn Townsend Pacific Green
Lori Chavez-DeRemer Republican
Sonja Feintech Libertarian
Brett Smith Independent

US Representative, 6th District Vote for one

Mike Erickson Republican
Andrea Salinas Democrat, Independent

Secretary of State Vote for one

Nathalie Paravicini Pacific Green, Progressive
Dennis Linthicum Republican, Constitution
Tobias Read Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Treasurer Vote for one

Mary King Working Families, Pacific Green
Brian J Boquist Republican, Constitution
Elizabeth Steiner Democrat

Attorney General Vote for one

Will Lathrop Republican
Dan Rayfield Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 1st District Vote for one

Lupe Preciado-McAlister Democrat
David Brock Smith Republican, Independent

State Senator, 2nd District Vote for one

Tracy Thompson Democrat
Noah Robinson Republican

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Senator, 5th District Vote for one

Jo Beaudreau Democrat
Dick Anderson Republican

State Senator, 9th District Vote for one

Fred Frank Girod Republican
Mike Ashland Democrat

State Senator, 12th District Vote for one

Andrea Kennedy-Smith Independent, Working Families
Bruce W Starr Republican
Scott Hooper Democrat

State Senator, 14th District Vote for one

Katy Brumbelow Libertarian
Kate Lieber Democrat

State Senator, 18th District Vote for one

Brian Pierson Republican
Winsvey E Campos Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 21st District Vote for one

Kathleen Taylor Democrat, Republican

State Senator, 22nd District Vote for one

Lew Frederick Democrat
Michael Saperstein Republican

State Senator, 23rd District Vote for one

Khanh Pham Democrat, Working Families

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Senator, 25th District Vote for one

Chris Gorsek Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Raymond E Love Republican

State Senator, 27th District Vote for one

Anthony Broadman Democrat, Independent
Michael Summers Republican

State Senator, 28th District Vote for one

Dylan Gutridge Democrat
Diane Linthicum Republican

State Senator, 29th District Vote for one

Tania Wildbill Nonaffiliated
Todd Nash Republican, Democrat

State Senator, 30th District Vote for one

Mike McLane Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 1st District Vote for one

Court Boice Republican
Bret Cecil Democrat

State Representative, 2nd District Vote for one

August Warren Democrat
Virgle J Osborne Republican, Constitution

State Representative, 3rd District Vote for one

Dwayne Yunker Republican
Mark J Seligman Democrat

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 4th District Vote for one

Richard Chasm Democrat
Alek Skarlatos Republican

State Representative, 5th District Vote for one

Katherine Green Republican
Pam Marsh Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 6th District Vote for one

Kim Wallan Republican
Lilia Caballero Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 7th District Vote for one

Cory Burket Republican
John Lively Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Representative, 8th District Vote for one

Lisa Fragala Democrat

State Representative, 9th District Vote for one

Boomer Wright Republican
William (Mrk) Mrkvicka Democrat

State Representative, 10th District Vote for one

David Gomberg Democrat, Independent, Republican

State Representative, 11th District Vote for one

Ivan Maluski Nonaffiliated
Jami Cate Republican

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 12th District Vote for one

Michelle Emmons Democrat
Darin Harbick Republican

State Representative, 13th District Vote for one

Nancy Nathanson Democrat
Timothy S Sutherland Republican

State Representative, 14th District Vote for one

Julie Fahey Democrat

State Representative, 15th District Vote for one

Terrence Virnig Democrat
Shelly Boshart Davis Republican

State Representative, 16th District Vote for one

Michael A Beilstein Pacific Green
Sarah Finger McDonald Democrat, Republican

State Representative, 17th District Vote for one

David W Beem Democrat
Ed Diehl Republican

State Representative, 18th District Vote for one

Rick Lewis Republican
Karyssa Dow Democrat

State Representative, 19th District Vote for one

David Brown Republican
Tom Andersen Democrat, Independent

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 20th District Vote for one

Paul Evans Democrat, Independent
Kevin S Chambers Republican

State Representative, 21st District Vote for one

Kevin L Mannix Republican
Virginia Stapleton Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Representative, 22nd District Vote for one

Lesly M Munoz Democrat, Working Families
Tracy M Cramer Republican

State Representative, 23rd District Vote for one

Kriss Wright Democrat
Anna M Scharf Republican

State Representative, 24th District Vote for one

Lucetta A Elmer Republican
Lisa Pool Democrat

State Representative, 25th District Vote for one

Ben Bowman Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Bob Niemeyer Republican

State Representative, 26th District Vote for one

Courtney Neron Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Jason E Fields Republican

State Representative, 27th District Vote for one

Victoria Kingsbury Republican
Ken Helm Democrat

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 28th District Vote for one

Dacia Grayber Democrat
Charles (Chick) Mengis Republican

State Representative, 29th District Vote for one

Susan McLain Democrat, Republican, Working Families

State Representative, 30th District Vote for one

Nathan Sosa Democrat

State Representative, 31st District Vote for one

Jordan Gutierrez Democrat
Darcey Edwards Republican
Robert Miller Libertarian

State Representative, 32nd District Vote for one

Cyrus B Javadi Republican
Andy Davis Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 33rd District Vote for one

Stan Baumhofer Republican
Thomas J Busse Libertarian
Shannon Jones Isadore Democrat

State Representative, 34th District Vote for one

John Verbeek Republican
Lisa Reynolds Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 35th District Vote for one

Dan Martin Republican
Farrah Chaichi Democrat, Working Families

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 36th District Vote for one

Hai Pham Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Shawn Chummar Republican

State Representative, 37th District Vote for one

Jules Walters Democrat
Ben Edtl Republican

State Representative, 38th District Vote for one

Daniel Nguyen Democrat

State Representative, 39th District Vote for one

Aimee L Reiner Republican
April Dobson Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 40th District Vote for one

Michael Steven Newgard Republican
Annessa Hartman Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Representative, 41st District Vote for one

Mark F Gamba Democrat, Working Families
Elvis Clark Republican

State Representative, 42nd District Vote for one

Rob Nosse Democrat

State Representative, 43rd District Vote for one

Tim LeMaster Republican
Tawna Sanchez Democrat

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 44th District Vote for one

Travis Nelson Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 45th District Vote for one

Thuy Tran Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 46th District Vote for one

John Mark Alexander Republican

Kevin Levy Independent

Willy Chotzen Democrat, Working Families

Austin Daniel Libertarian

State Representative, 47th District Vote for one

Andrea Valderrama Democrat, Republican

State Representative, 48th District Vote for one

Hoa H Nguyen Democrat, Independent, Working Families

John Masterman Republican

State Representative, 49th District Vote for one

Terry A Tipsord Republican

Zach Hudson Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Representative, 50th District Vote for one

Ricki Ruiz Democrat, Independent, Working Families

Paul Drechsler Republican

State Representative, 51st District Vote for one

Christine Drazan Republican

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

State Representative, 52nd District Vote for one

Nick Walden Poublon Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Jeff Helfrich Republican

State Representative, 53rd District Vote for one

Keri Lopez Republican
Emerson Levy Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 54th District Vote for one

Jason Kropf Democrat, Working Families, Independent

State Representative, 55th District Vote for one

James Williamson Democrat
E Werner Reschke Republican

State Representative, 56th District Vote for one

Emily G McIntire Republican

State Representative, 57th District Vote for one

Greg Smith Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 58th District Vote for one

Bobby Levy Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 59th District Vote for one

Vikki Breese-Iverson Republican
Brian K Samp Democrat

State Representative, 60th District Vote for one

Mark Owens Republican, Democrat

Secretary of State Elections Division
255 Capitol St NE, Ste 126, Salem, Oregon 97310

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 1 Vote for one

Stephen K Bushong **Incumbent**

Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 7 Vote for one

Bronson D James **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 11 Vote for one

Johan Pietila
Matthew A Rowan **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 3rd District, Position 11 Vote for one

Michelle Vlach-Ing
David L Carlson

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th District, Position 38 Vote for one

Jeff Auxier
Rachel Philips
Jennifer L Myrick
John Schlosser
Tom Dwyer

Judge of the Circuit Court, 6th District, Position 5 Vote for one

John L Ballard
Joanna A Marikos **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 20th District, Position 6 Vote for one

D Charles Bailey Jr **Incumbent**
KC LeDell

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 1 Vote for one

Jeremy Markiewicz **Incumbent**

Secretary of State Elections Division
255 Capitol St NE, Ste 126, Salem, Oregon 97310

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 8 Vote for one

Sara J Collins **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th District, Position 20 Vote for one

Bryan B Francesconi **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th District, Position 21 Vote for one

Rima Ghandour **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th District, Position 33 Vote for one

Pamela L Haan **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 5th District, Position 10 Vote for one

Thanh H Tran **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 13th District, Position 5 Vote for one

Stephen R Hedlund **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 15th District, Position 4 Vote for one

Matthew P Muenchrath **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 19th District, Position 1 Vote for one

Nick Brajcich **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 20th District, Position 12 Vote for one

Hafez Daraee **Incumbent**

Judge of the Circuit Court, 20th District, Position 16 Vote for one

Elizabeth R Lemoine **Incumbent**

Secretary of State Elections Division
255 Capitol St NE, Ste 126, Salem, Oregon 97310

Candidate Ballot Statement
2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Judge of the Circuit Court, 21st District, Position 1

Vote for one

Keri J Smith

Incumbent

District Attorney, Columbia County

Vote for one

Joshua Pond

District Attorney, Klamath County

Vote for one

David A Schutt

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

**Referred to the People by the
Legislative Assembly**

Measure 115

Amends Constitution: Authorizes impeachment of statewide elected officials by Oregon Legislature with two-thirds vote by each House; establishes process

Result of “Yes” Vote: "Yes" vote authorizes and establishes a process for the Oregon Legislature to impeach statewide elected officials; House initiates impeachment with two-thirds vote; Senate tries and convicts with two-thirds vote; Chief Justice of Oregon Supreme Court oversees impeachment trial. **Yes**

Result of “No” Vote: "No" vote retains current law that does not authorize the Oregon Legislature to impeach and remove statewide elected officials. **No**

Summary: Amends the Oregon Constitution to grant the Oregon House of Representatives the power to impeach statewide elected officials in the executive branch, and to grant the Oregon Senate the power to try an impeachment received from the House. Currently, the statewide elected officials of the executive branch are the Governor, the Secretary of State, the State Treasurer, the Attorney General and the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries. At present, the only way to remove these officials is through recall election. The measure permits the House to impeach for malfeasance, corrupt conduct in office, willful neglect of constitutional duty or other felony or high crime. Requires "yes" vote of at least two-thirds (40) of Representatives to send impeachment resolution to Senate. Requires Senate to conduct impeachment trial and requires "yes" vote of at least two-thirds (20) of Senators to convict. The Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court would preside over an impeachment trial. A convicted official is removed from office and disqualified from other public office.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends the constitution to allow the House of Representatives to impeach statewide elected officials. The measure requires the Senate to try any impeachment received from the House. The Chief Justice presides over the trial. The financial impact on state government is indeterminate because impeachment trials do not have a set length and could happen outside of regularly scheduled sessions. There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

**Referred to the People by the
Legislative Assembly**

Measure 116

Amends Constitution: Establishes "Independent Public Service Compensation Commission" to determine salaries for specified officials; eliminates legislative authority to set such salaries

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote amends Oregon Constitution to establish a commission to determine salaries paid by state to specified officials, replacing current practice of establishing such salaries by legislation; provides that determinations of the commission are automatically funded. **Yes**

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current law whereby salaries paid by state to specified officials are established by legislation. **No**

Summary: Under current law, the salaries paid by the state to many public officials are set by statute and can be changed only through legislation. This measure authorizes establishment of a body named the Independent Public Service Compensation Commission to determine salaries paid by the state to the Governor, the Secretary of State, the State Treasurer, the Attorney General, the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries, judges of the Supreme Court, judges of other courts under the administration of the judicial branch of state government, state Senators, state Representatives and district attorneys. Determinations of the commission would not be subject to review or modification by the Legislative Assembly, the Governor or any other official. The measure provides that the membership of the commission is to be established by law, except that the following classes of individuals are ineligible for membership: officers and employees of the state, lobbyists, and immediate family members of such officers, employees and lobbyists. The measure provides that moneys sufficient to pay for the commission's salary determinations are automatically appropriated from the General Fund without the need for a further appropriation by law.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends the Constitution to create a Commission responsible for deciding the salaries of certain elected officials. The money for the salaries will come from the General Fund. The measure itself does not decide the moneys needed to cover the salaries. The fiscal impact to the state, for chosen salaries and commission staff, could not be determined. There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

**Referred to the People by the
Legislative Assembly**

Measure 117

Gives voters option to rank candidates in order of preference; candidate receiving majority of votes in final round wins

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote gives voters the option to rank candidates in order of preference for specified federal and statewide offices. Establishes process for tallying votes in rounds, with the candidate receiving the fewest votes in each round being defeated and votes for the defeated candidate going to the voter’s next-highest ranked active candidate. Requires that candidate must receive majority of votes in final round of voting to win election.

Yes

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote maintains current voting system. Voter selects one candidate for federal and statewide offices. Candidate with most votes wins. Majority of votes not required for candidate to win election.

No

Summary: Current state law requires voters to select only one candidate for each office on the ballot. The candidate with the most votes after a single vote tally wins, even if not a majority. This measure gives voters the option to rank candidates in order of preference using “ranked choice voting.” Under the measure, voters may choose to rank only one candidate or multiple candidates for each office, as well as write in candidate(s). Votes are counted toward each voter’s highest-ranked candidate. If no candidate receives a majority of votes, votes are tallied automatically in rounds. The candidate receiving the fewest votes in each round is defeated. A defeated candidate’s votes go to the voter’s next highest-ranked candidate. The process continues until one candidate has a majority of votes. The measure applies to the nomination and election of President, United States Senator, Representative in Congress, Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer and Attorney General, and election of the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries. The measure requires the Secretary of State to establish a program to educate voters about how ranked choice voting elections will be conducted. Authorizes local governments to adopt ranked choice voting for elections for local offices. Local governments that adopted ranked choice voting before 2025 may continue to use current method or modify it. The measure applies to elections beginning in 2028.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure establishes ranked choice voting for federal, state, and some local elected offices. The Secretary of State must create rules for carrying out ranked choice voting and educate voters about ranked choice voting. The Secretary of State and County Clerks must present two reports to interim legislative committees by September 15, 2026. If the measure is passed by Oregon voters, ranked choice voting must be effective by January 1, 2028.

The measure is estimated to cost the state government \$0.9 million during the 2023-25 biennium. This cost is to pay for needed staff and consulting services for the Secretary of State to begin carrying out the measure. In the 2025-27 biennium, the cost of the measure is estimated to grow to \$5.6 million. This is to continue funding staff and consulting services, as well as outreach and IT needs.

The cost of the measure is less known for local government. County Clerks estimate that the measure will cost \$2.3 million initially. This funding will be used to improve technology, train staff, and test the new system. Every statewide election will cost an additional \$1.8 million for added printing and logistics. Software and maintenance contract costs will cost an additional \$0.4 million per year.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 118

Increases highest corporate minimum taxes; distributes revenue to eligible individuals; state replaces reduced federal benefits

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote increases corporate minimum tax on Oregon sales exceeding \$25,000,000; eliminates tax cap; distributes revenue to eligible individuals; state replaces any reduced federal benefits. **Yes**

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote retains existing corporate minimum taxes on Oregon sales; twelve tax brackets impose different tax amounts, capped at \$100,000 tax on sales exceeding \$100,000,000. **No**

Summary: Current law requires corporations to pay higher of either tax on taxable income or corporate minimum tax. Except S corporations, minimum tax amount determined by tax bracket based on corporation’s Oregon sales; minimum tax capped at \$100,000 for \$100,000,000 or more in sales. Beginning 2025, measure removes minimum tax cap; increases minimum tax on all corporations with Oregon sales exceeding \$25,000,000 by imposing additional tax of 3% for sales above \$25,000,000. Measure directs Department of Revenue to equally distribute increased revenue (minus certain costs) to all individuals residing more than 200 days annually in Oregon. Revenue distribution does not affect individual eligibility for state benefits; measure requires replacement of reduced federal benefits if distribution negatively affects individual’s benefits under any need-based program. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure establishes a new gross receipts tax as the minimum tax for certain corporations. According to the Legislative Revenue Office, indirect economic costs of the measure include a 1.3 percent increase in prices of goods and services and reductions in jobs, wages and personal incomes. Corporate tax revenues are estimated to increase by \$1.3 billion in 2023-25, \$14.7 billion in 2025-27, and \$15.6 billion in 2027-29.

New revenue raised will be used to issue annual rebates to eligible individuals in Oregon. An estimated \$13.6 billion will be required for rebate distributions in 2025-2027 and an estimated \$17.1 billion will be required in 2027-29. Administrative costs and any additional payments will be deducted from the amount to be distributed.

Known administrative costs are estimated to be \$1.6 million General Fund and 22 permanent positions in the 2023-25 biennium and \$48.2 million General Fund and 199 additional permanent positions in the 2025-27 biennium at the Oregon Department of Revenue. The measure will generate a significant workload increase processing applications for the rebate, verifying the identity and eligibility of those applying for the rebates, reviewing payments and tax refunds for fraudulent activity, handling appeals, increasing customer inquiries, increasing audit and collections activity for the new tax, and increasing technology programming. Other major expenses are unknown but could be significant for expenses such as payments for rebate checks, prepaid debit cards, mailings associated with the program, legal fees, and public information costs.

Individuals who lose federal benefits because of the rebate will be held harmless with additional payments. The costs associated with this provision are unknown. Rebates that are declined by eligible individuals will be available to fund services for senior citizens, health care, and public early childhood education through high school. The impact from these declined rebates is unknown.

Following the initial phase-in, total biennial costs of the rebate program are expected to exceed the new biennial revenue. Estimated indirect impacts on personal income tax revenue are reductions of \$12 million in 2023-25, \$199 million in 2025-27, and \$207 million in 2027-29. Lastly, changes to the economy are projected to reduce state revenue by roughly \$11 million in 2023-25, \$150 million in 2025-27 and \$400 million in 2027-29.

The corporate kicker will increase by an estimated \$1.3 billion in 2025-27, and transfers to the Rainy Day Fund from the General Fund will be reduced.

Impact to local governments is unknown.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 119

Cannabis retailers/processors must remain neutral regarding communications to their employees from labor organizations; penalties

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote requires cannabis retailers/processors to agree to remain neutral when labor organizations communicate with employees about collective bargaining rights; licensure/certification penalties possible. **Yes**

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote retains current labor laws; no requirement that cannabis retailers/processors agree to remain neutral on communications between their employees and labor organizations. **No**

Summary: Current law generally guarantees employees’ rights to organize and collectively bargain. Measure requires agreement between cannabis retailers/processors and a labor organization that meets the definition of labor organization under the National Labor Relations Act and whose operations are independent of the retailer/processor. At minimum, agreement must require that retailer/processor will remain neutral when labor organization representatives communicate with employees about collective bargaining rights. Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission must require such signed agreement or attestation of such agreement, in addition to existing licensure or certification requirements for cannabis retailers/processors. Failure to have a signed agreement or attestation, or to follow the agreement, may result in penalties, including fines or the denial, suspension, or revocation of retailer’s/processor’s license or certificate.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure will increase state government costs by approximately \$0.6 million in the first year. Ongoing costs will increase by approximately \$0.8 million each subsequent year. The estimated costs include six new positions to verify application documents and monitor compliance. The increased costs will be paid for by license application fees. There is no financial impact to local governments.
