

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

ELECTIONS DIVISION

Directive of the	Subject:	Date:	Number:
Secretary of State	Official Ballot Statements,	September 5, 2024	2024-05
	General Election, November 5, 2024		

The Secretary of State in carrying out the duties of the office shall issue detailed directives necessary to maintain uniformity in the application, operation, and interpretation of Oregon election laws. (ORS 246.110-120) The information provided here is an official directive of the Secretary of State.

This directive incorporates the attached instructions for processing the official Ballot Statements issued for the 2024 General Election.

The instructions provide information regarding:

- Ballot Label Style
- · Ballot Specifications
- Ballot Arrangement
- Numbering System for Candidates and Measures
- · Random Ordering of Candidate Names
- Printing Candidate Names
- · Multiple Party Nominations
- Judicial Candidates "Incumbent" Designation
- · County Nonpartisan Candidates
- · County Judge Candidates
- · Lines for Candidate Write-In Votes

FWoon

· Ballot Measure Formatting

The provisions of ORS 254.108, 254.125, 254.135, 254.145, 254.155, 254.195 and ORS 260.675 should be reviewed prior to preparing the official ballot.

LaVonne Griffin-Valade Secretary of State

D.,.

Molly Woon

Director of Elections



Molly Woon Division Director



DATE: September 5, 2024

TO: All County Election Officials

FROM: Molly Woon, Director

SUBJECT: Official Ballot Statements for the General Election on November 5, 2024,

Directive 2024-05

Enclosed you will find the following Official Ballot Statements.

We have also enclosed a list of open offices for the 2024 General Election. These Statements will serve as a guide in preparing your county's ballot.

The state Official Ballot Statements consist of:

- 1. Partisan Candidates
- 2. Nonpartisan Candidates
- 3. Measures

Note: Ranked Choice Voting contests may be placed on a separate ballot card or in an alternate position on the ballot, at the discretion of the county election administrator.

The Secretary of State directs the following:

BALLOT LABEL STYLE

The official General Election Ballot shall be styled as:

"Official Ballot" and state the name of your county and the date of the election.

See ORS 254.135 for further requirements.

BALLOT SPECIFICATIONS

All official General Election ballots shall be printed in black ink on good quality material, as required by ORS 254.195 (1).

Ballots shall be printed on white paper.

BALLOT ARRANGEMENT

The offices shall be arranged in the sequence shown on the enclosed ballot statement. Offices are listed in the following order:

1. Federal Office

- US President
- US Representative

2. State Office

- Secretary of State
- State Treasurer
- Attorney General

3. Legislative Office

- State Senator
- State Representative
- 4. County Office if partisan
- **5.** City Office if partisan
- **6. Nonpartisan Office** the sequence of all nonpartisan offices shall be as follows:
 - a. State Office
 - None at this election
 - **b. State Judicial Office** Contested races appear before uncontested races for the same office type.
 - Contested Supreme Court (None at this election)
 - Uncontested Supreme Court
 - Contested Circuit Court positions
 - Uncontested Circuit Court positions

c. District Attorney

- **d. Nonpartisan County Offices** such as the following:
 - County Judge
 - Justice of the Peace
 - Sheriff
 - County Clerk
 - County Assessor
 - County Treasurer
 - County Surveyor
- e. Nonpartisan City Offices
- f. Special District Offices if any
- **7.** Ranked Choice Voting Contests —may be placed on a separate ballot card or in an alternate position on the ballot, at the discretion of the county election administrator.

8. Measures

- State
- County
- City
- Multi-County Special District
- Single County Special District

If for any reason you feel that the ballot arrangement as set forth will cause you undue administrative or printing problems, please contact this office for further advice.

NUMBERING SYSTEM

- 1. Candidates Automated Tally Systems: Unique candidate numbers are not required.
- 2. Measures: The number assigned to each local (county, city and district) measure must be preceded by your unique county prefix number (i.e., Baker County is number 1, Benton is number 2, and so forth, numbered consecutively in alphabetical order until Yamhill County, which is number 36).

If a district or city is located in more than one county, the county elections officer who is the filing officer shall immediately certify the district or city measure and number to the county clerk of any other county in which the district or city is located. The same measure number shall be used in all counties in which the election is conducted for that measure.

RANDOM ORDERING OF CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of the candidates shall be ordered as provided for in ORS 254.155. On August 29, 2024, this office sent a memo to all County Election Officials giving the random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for candidates on the ballot for the November 5, 2024, General Election.

The random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for which candidate's names will be placed on the ballot for the November 5, 2024, General Election is as follows:

1.	K	6. O	11. I	16. L	21. U	26. D
2.	Υ	7. G	12. J	17. T	22. H	
3.	W	8. E	13. P	18. C	23. Q	
4.	V	9. N	14. M	19. F	24. R	
5.	В	10. A	15. X	20. S	25. Z	

For candidates whose last names begin with the same letter(s) of the alphabet, or whose names are identical etc., follow the procedure contained in OAR 165-010-0090.

ORS 254.135 (3)(F)(e) requires if two or more candidates for the same office have the same or similar surnames, the location of their places of residence shall be printed with their names to distinguish one from another.

The Ballot Statement for state candidates has been prepared by the Secretary of State using the random sequence.

PRINTING CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of candidates shall be printed on the official ballot as indicated in the Ballot Statement. Office titles, candidate names and political parties must be printed in **bold face, mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of these names shall be upper case with the rest lower case (unless within the name an upper-case letter is specified by the candidate, such as "McVan").

Additionally, **candidate names must not contain any periods**, even after a Jr or Sr. For example, a candidate's name should be formatted as Jonathan J Smith, Jr – with **no periods** (commas are allowable).

ORS 249.031 allows for a candidate to use a nickname in parentheses. ORS 254.145 (2) provides that no title or designation may be included in the candidate's name (such as Dr, Mr, PhD, Senior Vice President, etc.). Designations such as Jr, Sr, III, IV, etc. are allowable if it is actually part of the name by which the candidate is commonly known.

Also, ORS 248.360 (2) and 254.135 (2) provide that in the year when a President and a Vice President of the United States are to be elected, the general election ballot shall state: Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.

Note: If no candidate has filed for an office, the ballot must include "No Candidate Filed."

MULTIPLE PARTY NOMINATIONS

Candidates may be nominated by multiple political parties and have up to three parties listed after their name as indicated in the Ballot Statement. If the candidate was nominated by the party they are a member of, that party will be listed first, followed by two or fewer additional parties in alphabetical order or as specified by the candidate. If individual electors or an assembly of electors nominate a nonaffiliated candidate, "nonaffiliated" will be listed first, followed by two or fewer additional parties in alphabetical order or as specified by the candidate.

JUDICIAL CANDIDATES "INCUMBENT" DESIGNATION

The information for state candidates for Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Tax Court, and Circuit Court positions shall include a designation of "Incumbent" for each candidate who is the regularly elected or appointed judge of the court to which the candidate seeks election ORS 254.135 (3)(c). The Ballot Statement provides this designation.

Please note that justice of the peace, county judges and municipal court candidates <u>do</u> not have the "incumbent" designation.

COUNTY NONPARTISAN CANDIDATES

Review ORS 249.091 to determine if county nonpartisan candidates (sheriff, county treasurer or county clerk, or candidates to fill a vacancy in a nonpartisan office) are required to be listed on the general election ballot.

COUNTY JUDGE CANDIDATES

Senate Bill 166 from 2023 Legislative Session changed the filing officer from state to county for the office of County Judge (those who exercise judicial functions). Therefore, those offices appear on the ballot under nonpartisan county offices.

LINES FOR CANDIDATE WRITE-IN VOTES

ORS 254.145 (3) provides for the write-in of names in the appropriate place on the ballot. Allow one blank line for each position to be filled. Example: "Vote for Three." In this case, you will provide three blank lines following the list of candidates for that office. Blank lines shall not be numbered.

Offices that have been certified as "No Candidate Filed" must still appear on the ballot with the appropriate number of write-in lines. "No Candidate Filed" may be shown on what would have been the candidate's name line.

BALLOT MEASURE FORMATTING

State ballot measures must be formatted as shown on the attached Ballot Measure Statement. The state ballot measure headers and the ballot title captions must be printed in **bold face**, **mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of a phrase or sentence and only certain words within a sentence may be upper case with the rest lower case.

This directive applies to state ballot measures and does not require you to use the same formatting for local measures. However, we encourage consistent formatting, as this would ensure better readability for the voters.

If you have any questions, please contact our office.

United States President and Vice President

Candidate Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

Vote for one

Libertarian

Democrat

Page 1

Pacific Green

Republican, Constitution

September 5, 2024

Dan Bahlen

Justin Filip

Monique DeSpain

Val Hoyle

Your vote for the candidates	vote for one	
Vice President shall be a vote candidates.	e for the electors supporting those	
Robert F Kennedy Jr	Nicole Shanahan	We The People
Cornel West		Progressive
Chase Oliver	Mike ter Maat	Libertarian
Randall Terry		Constitution
Donald J Trump	JD Vance	Republican
Jill Stein	Rudolph Ware	Pacific Green
Kamala D Harris	Tim Walz	Democrat
US Representative, 1st Dist	rict	Vote for one
Suzanne Bonamici		Democrat
Bob Todd		Republican
Joe Christman		Libertarian
US Representative, 2nd Dis	trict	Vote for one
Cliff Bentz		Republican
Michael Kurt Stettler		Constitution
Dan Ruby		Democrat, Progressive
US Representative, 3rd Dis	trict	Vote for one
David W Walker		Independent, Progressive
Joe Meyer		Pacific Green
David K Frosch		Constitution
Joanna Harbour Maxine E Dexter		Republican
		Democrat
US Representative, 4th Dist	trict	Vote for one

2024 General Election

•	
US Representative, 5th District	Vote for one
Janelle S Bynum	Democrat
Andrea Thorn Townsend	Pacific Green
Lori Chavez-DeRemer	Republican
Sonja Feintech	Libertarian
Brett Smith	Independent
US Representative, 6th District	Vote for one
Mike Erickson	Republican
Andrea Salinas	Democrat, Independent
Secretary of State	Vote for one
Nathalie Paravicini	Pacific Green, Progressive
Dennis Linthicum	Republican, Constitution
Tobias Read	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Treasurer	Vote for one
Mary King	Working Families, Pacific Green
Brian J Boquist	Republican, Constitution
Elizabeth Steiner	Democrat
Attorney General	Vote for one
Will Lathrop	Republican
Dan Rayfield	Democrat, Working Families
State Senator, 1st District	Vote for one
Lupe Preciado-McAlister	Democrat
David Brock Smith	Republican, Independent
State Senator, 2nd District	Vote for one
Tracy Thompson	Democrat
Noah Robinson	Republican
	Page 2

2024 General Election

State Senator, 5th District	Vote for one
Jo Beaudreau	Democrat
Dick Anderson	Republican
State Senator, 9th District	Vote for one
Fred Frank Girod	Republican
Mike Ashland	Democrat
State Senator, 12th District	Vote for one
Andrea Kennedy-Smith	Independent, Working Families
Bruce W Starr	Republican
Scott Hooper	Democrat
State Senator, 14th District	Vote for one
Katy Brumbelow	Libertarian
Kate Lieber	Democrat
State Senator, 18th District	Vote for one
Brian Pierson	Republican
Winsvey E Campos	Democrat, Working Families
State Senator, 21st District	Vote for one
Kathleen Taylor	Democrat, Republican
State Senator, 22nd District	Vote for one
Lew Frederick	Democrat
Michael Saperstein	Republican
State Senator, 23rd District	Vote for one
Khanh Pham	Democrat, Working Families

2024 General Election

State Senator, 25th District	Vote for one
Chris Gorsek	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Raymond E Love	Republican
State Senator, 27th District	Vote for one
Anthony Broadman	Democrat, Independent
Michael Summers	Republican
State Senator, 28th District	Vote for one
Dylan Gutridge	Democrat
Diane Linthicum	Republican
State Senator, 29th District	Vote for one
Tania Wildbill	Nonaffiliated
Todd Nash	Republican, Democrat
State Senator, 30th District	Vote for one
Mike McLane	Republican, Democrat
State Representative, 1st District	Vote for one
Court Boice	Republican
Bret Cecil	Democrat
State Representative, 2nd District	Vote for one
August Warren	Democrat
Virgle J Osborne	Republican, Constitution
State Representative, 3rd District	Vote for one
Dwayne Yunker	Republican
Mark J Seligman	Democrat

2024 General Election

State Representative, 4th District	Vote for one
Richard Chasm	Democrat
Alek Skarlatos	Republican
State Representative, 5th District	Vote for one
Katherine Green	Republican
Pam Marsh	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 6th District	Vote for one
Kim Wallan	Republican
Lilia Caballero	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 7th District	Vote for one
Cory Burket	Republican
John Lively	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 8th District	Vote for one
Lisa Fragala	Democrat
State Representative, 9th District	Vote for one
Boomer Wright	Republican
William (Mrk) Mrkvicka	Democrat
State Representative, 10th District	Vote for one
David Gomberg	Democrat, Independent, Republican
State Representative, 11th District	Vote for one
Ivan Maluski	Nonaffiliated
Jami Cate	Republican

2024 General Election

State Representative, 12th District	Vote for one
Michelle Emmons	Democrat
Darin Harbick	Republican
State Representative, 13th District	Vote for one
Nancy Nathanson	Democrat
Timothy S Sutherland	Republican
State Representative, 14th District	Vote for one
Julie Fahey	Democrat
State Representative, 15th District	Vote for one
Terrence Virnig	Democrat
Shelly Boshart Davis	Republican
State Representative, 16th District	Vote for one
Michael A Beilstein	Pacific Green
Sarah Finger McDonald	Democrat, Republican
State Representative, 17th District	Vote for one
David W Beem	Democrat
Ed Diehl	Republican
State Representative, 18th District	Vote for one
Rick Lewis	Republican
Karyssa Dow	Democrat
State Representative, 19th District	Vote for one
David Brown	Republican
Tom Andersen	Democrat, Independent

2024 General Election

State Representative, 20th District	Vote for one
•	
Paul Evans	Democrat, Independent
Kevin S Chambers	Republican
State Representative, 21st District	Vote for one
Kevin L Mannix	Republican
Virginia Stapleton	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 22nd District	Vote for one
Lesly M Munoz	Democrat, Working Families
Tracy M Cramer	Republican
State Representative, 23rd District	Vote for one
Kriss Wright	Democrat
Anna M Scharf	Republican
State Representative, 24th District	Vote for one
Lucetta A Elmer	Republican
Lisa Pool	Democrat
State Representative, 25th District	Vote for one
Ben Bowman	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Bob Niemeyer	Republican
State Representative, 26th District	Vote for one
Courtney Neron	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Jason E Fields	Republican
State Representative, 27th District	Vote for one
Victoria Kingsbury	Republican
Ken Helm	Democrat

2024 General Election

•	
State Representative, 28th District	Vote for one
Dacia Grayber	Democrat
Charles (Chick) Mengis	Republican
State Representative, 29th District	Vote for one
Susan McLain	Democrat, Republican, Working Families
State Representative, 30th District	Vote for one
Nathan Sosa	Democrat
State Representative, 31st District	Vote for one
Jordan Gutierrez	Democrat
Darcey Edwards	Republican
Robert Miller	Libertarian
State Representative, 32nd District	Vote for one
Cyrus B Javadi	Republican
Andy Davis	Democrat, Working Families
State Representative, 33rd District	Vote for one
Stan Baumhofer	Republican
Thomas J Busse	Libertarian
Shannon Jones Isadore	Democrat
State Representative, 34th District	Vote for one
John Verbeek	Republican
Lisa Reynolds	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 35th District	Vote for one
Dan Martin	Republican
Farrah Chaichi	Democrat, Working Families

2024 General Election

State Representative, 36th District	Vote for one
Hai Pham	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Shawn Chummar	Republican
State Representative, 37th District	Vote for one
Jules Walters	Democrat
Ben Edtl	Republican
State Representative, 38th District	Vote for one
Daniel Nguyen	Democrat
State Representative, 39th District	Vote for one
Aimee L Reiner	Republican
April Dobson	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 40th District	Vote for one
Michael Steven Newgard	Republican
Annessa Hartman	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 41st District	Vote for one
Mark F Gamba	Democrat, Working Families
Elvis Clark	Republican
State Representative, 42nd District	Vote for one
Rob Nosse	Democrat
State Representative, 43rd District	Vote for one
Tim LeMaster	Republican
Tawna Sanchez	Democrat

2024 General Election

Vote for one
Democrat, Working Families
Vote for one
Democrat, Working Families
Vote for one
Republican
Independent
Democrat, Working Families
Libertarian
Vote for one
Democrat, Republican
Vote for one
Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Republican
Vote for one
Republican
Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Vote for one
Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Republican
Vote for one
Republican

2024 General Election

State Representative, 52nd District	Vote for one
Nick Walden Poublon	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Jeff Helfrich	Republican
State Representative, 53rd District	Vote for one
Keri Lopez	Republican
Emerson Levy	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 54th District	Vote for one
Jason Kropf	Democrat, Working Families, Independent
State Representative, 55th District	Vote for one
James Williamson	Democrat
E Werner Reschke	Republican
State Representative, 56th District	Vote for one
Emily G McIntire	Republican
State Representative, 57th District	Vote for one
Greg Smith	Republican, Democrat
State Representative, 58th District	Vote for one
Bobby Levy	Republican, Democrat
State Representative, 59th District	Vote for one
Vikki Breese-Iverson	Republican
Brian K Samp	Democrat
State Representative, 60th District	Vote for one
Mark Owens	Republican, Democrat

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024		
Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 1		Vote for one
Stephen K Bushong	Incumbent	
Judge of the Supreme Cou	rt, Position 7	Vote for one
Bronson D James	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	1st District, Position 11	Vote for one
Johan Pietila		
Matthew A Rowan	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	3rd District, Position 11	Vote for one
Michelle Vlach-Ing		
David L Carlson		
Judge of the Circuit Court,	4th District, Position 38	Vote for one
Jeff Auxier		
Rachel Philips		
Jennifer L Myrick		
John Schlosser		
Tom Dwyer		
Judge of the Circuit Court,	6th District, Position 5	Vote for one
John L Ballard		
Joanna A Marikos	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	20th District, Position 6	Vote for one
D Charles Bailey Jr KC LeDell	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	1st District, Position 1	Vote for one
Jeremy Markiewicz	Incumbent	

2024 General Election

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1	st District, Position 8	Vote for one
Sara J Collins	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 4	th District, Position 20	Vote for one
Bryan B Francesconi	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 4	th District, Position 21	Vote for one
Rima Ghandour	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 4	th District, Position 33	Vote for one
Pamela L Haan	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 5	th District, Position 10	Vote for one
Thanh H Tran	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 1	3th District, Position 5	Vote for one
Stephen R Hedlund	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 1	5th District, Position 4	Vote for one
Matthew P Muenchrath	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 1	9th District, Position 1	Vote for one
Nick Brajcich	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 2	0th District, Position 12	Vote for one
Hafez Daraee	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 2	0th District, Position 16	Vote for one
Elizabeth R Lemoine	Incumbent	

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Judge of the Circuit Court, 21st District, Position 1		Vote for one
Keri J Smith	Incumbent	
District Attorney, Columbia County		Vote for one
Joshua Pond		
District Attorney, Klam	ath County	Vote for one

David A Schutt

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 115

Amends Constitution: Authorizes impeachment of statewide elected officials by Oregon Legislature with two-thirds vote by each House; establishes process

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote authorizes and establishes a process for the Oregon Legislature to Yes impeach statewide elected officials; House initiates impeachment with two-thirds vote; Senate tries and convicts with two-thirds vote; Chief Justice of Oregon Supreme Court oversees impeachment trial.

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current law that does not authorize the Oregon Legislature to **No** impeach and remove statewide elected officials.

Summary: Amends the Oregon Constitution to grant the Oregon House of Representatives the power to impeach statewide elected officials in the executive branch, and to grant the Oregon Senate the power to try an impeachment received from the House. Currently, the statewide elected officials of the executive branch are the Governor, the Secretary of State, the State Treasurer, the Attorney General and the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries. At present, the only way to remove these officials is through recall election. The measure permits the House to impeach for malfeasance, corrupt conduct in office, willful neglect of constitutional duty or other felony or high crime. Requires "yes" vote of at least two-thirds (40) of Representatives to send impeachment resolution to Senate. Requires Senate to conduct impeachment trial and requires "yes" vote of at least two-thirds (20) of Senators to convict. The Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court would preside over an impeachment trial. A convicted official is removed from office and disqualified from other public office.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends the constitution to allow the House of Representatives to impeach statewide elected officials. The measure requires the Senate to try any impeachment received from the House. The Chief Justice presides over the trial. The financial impact on state government is indeterminate because impeachment trials do not have a set length and could happen outside of regularly scheduled sessions. There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 116

Amends Constitution: Establishes "Independent Public Service Compensation Commission" to determine salaries for specified officials; eliminates legislative authority to set such salaries

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote amends Oregon Constitution to establish a commission to determine salaries paid by state to specified officials, replacing current practice of establishing such salaries by legislation; provides that determinations of the commission are automatically funded.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current law whereby salaries paid by state to specified officials are established by legislation.

No

Summary: Under current law, the salaries paid by the state to many public officials are set by statute and can be changed only through legislation. This measure authorizes establishment of a body named the Independent Public Service Compensation Commission to determine salaries paid by the state to the Governor, the Secretary of State, the State Treasurer, the Attorney General, the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries, judges of the Supreme Court, judges of other courts under the administration of the judicial branch of state government, state Senators, state Representatives and district attorneys. Determinations of the commission would not be subject to review or modification by the Legislative Assembly, the Governor or any other official. The measure provides that the membership of the commission is to be established by law, except that the following classes of individuals are ineligible for membership: officers and employees of the state, lobbyists, and immediate family members of such officers, employees and lobbyists. The measure provides that moneys sufficient to pay for the commission's salary determinations are automatically appropriated from the General Fund without the need for a further appropriation by law.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends the Constitution to create a Commission responsible for deciding the salaries of certain elected officials. The money for the salaries will come from the General Fund. The measure itself does not decide the moneys needed to cover the salaries. The fiscal impact to the state, for chosen salaries and commission staff, could not be determined. There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 117

Gives voters option to rank candidates in order of preference; candidate receiving majority of votes in final round wins

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote gives voters the option to rank candidates in order of preference for specified federal and statewide offices. Establishes process for tallying votes in rounds, with the candidate receiving the fewest votes in each round being defeated and votes for the defeated candidate going to the voter's next-highest ranked active candidate. Requires that candidate must receive majority of votes in final round of voting to win election.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote maintains current voting system. Voter selects one candidate for federal and statewide offices. Candidate with most votes wins. Majority of votes not required for candidate to win election.

No

Summary: Current state law requires voters to select only one candidate for each office on the ballot. The candidate with the most votes after a single vote tally wins, even if not a majority. This measure gives voters the option to rank candidates in order of preference using "ranked choice voting." Under the measure, voters may choose to rank only one candidate or multiple candidates for each office, as well as write in candidate(s). Votes are counted toward each voter's highest-ranked candidate. If no candidate receives a majority of votes, votes are tallied automatically in rounds. The candidate receiving the fewest votes in each round is defeated. A defeated candidate's votes go to the voter's next highest-ranked candidate. The process continues until one candidate has a majority of votes. The measure applies to the nomination and election of President, United States Senator, Representative in Congress, Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer and Attorney General, and election of the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries. The measure requires the Secretary of State to establish a program to educate voters about how ranked choice voting elections will be conducted. Authorizes local governments to adopt ranked choice voting for elections for local offices. Local governments that adopted ranked choice voting before 2025 may continue to use current method or modify it. The measure applies to elections beginning in 2028.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure establishes ranked choice voting for federal, state, and some local elected offices. The Secretary of State must create rules for carrying out ranked choice voting and educate voters about ranked choice voting. The Secretary of State and County Clerks must present two reports to interim legislative committees by September 15, 2026. If the measure is passed by Oregon voters, ranked choice voting must be effective by January 1, 2028.

The measure is estimated to cost the state government \$0.9 million during the 2023-25 biennium. This cost is to pay for needed staff and consulting services for the Secretary of State to begin carrying out the measure. In the 2025-27 biennium, the cost of the measure is estimated to grow to \$5.6 million. This is to continue funding staff and consulting services, as well as outreach and IT needs.

The cost of the measure is less known for local government. County Clerks estimate that the measure will cost \$2.3 million initially. This funding will be used to improve technology, train staff, and test the new system. Every statewide election will cost an additional \$1.8 million for added printing and logistics. Software and maintenance contract costs will cost an additional \$0.4 million per year.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 118

Increases highest corporate minimum taxes; distributes revenue to eligible individuals; state replaces reduced federal benefits

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote increases corporate minimum tax on Oregon sales exceeding \$25,000,000; eliminates tax cap; distributes revenue to eligible individuals; state replaces any reduced federal benefits.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains existing corporate minimum taxes on Oregon sales; twelve tax brackets impose different tax amounts, capped at \$100,000 tax on sales exceeding \$100,000,000.

No

Summary: Current law requires corporations to pay higher of either tax on taxable income or corporate minimum tax. Except S corporations, minimum tax amount determined by tax bracket based on corporation's Oregon sales; minimum tax capped at \$100,000 for \$100,000,000 or more in sales. Beginning 2025, measure removes minimum tax cap; increases minimum tax on all corporations with Oregon sales exceeding \$25,000,000 by imposing additional tax of 3% for sales above \$25,000,000. Measure directs Department of Revenue to equally distribute increased revenue (minus certain costs) to all individuals residing more than 200 days annually in Oregon. Revenue distribution does not affect individual eligibility for state benefits; measure requires replacement of reduced federal benefits if distribution negatively affects individual's benefits under any need-based program. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure establishes a new gross receipts tax as the minimum tax for certain corporations. According to the Legislative Revenue Office, indirect economic costs of the measure include a 1.3 percent increase in prices of goods and services and reductions in jobs, wages and personal incomes. Corporate tax revenues are estimated to increase by \$1.3 billion in 2023-25, \$14.7 billion in 2025-27, and \$15.6 billion in 2027-29.

New revenue raised will be used to issue annual rebates to eligible individuals in Oregon. An estimated \$13.6 billion will be required for rebate distributions in 2025-2027 and an estimated \$17.1 billion will be required in 2027-29. Administrative costs and any additional payments will be deducted from the amount to be distributed.

Known administrative costs are estimated to be \$1.6 million General Fund and 22 permanent positions in the 2023-25 biennium and \$48.2 million General Fund and 199 additional permanent positions in the 2025-27 biennium at the Oregon Department of Revenue. The measure will generate a significant workload increase processing applications for the rebate, verifying the identity and eligibility of those applying for the rebates, reviewing payments and tax refunds for fraudulent activity, handling appeals, increasing customer inquiries, increasing audit and collections activity for the new tax, and increasing technology programming. Other major expenses are unknown but could be significant for expenses such as payments for rebate checks, prepaid debit cards, mailings associated with the program, legal fees, and public information costs.

Individuals who lose federal benefits because of the rebate will be held harmless with additional payments. The costs associated with this provision are unknown. Rebates that are declined by eligible individuals will be available to fund services for senior citizens, health care, and public early childhood education through high school. The impact from these declined rebates is unknown.

Following the initial phase-in, total biennial costs of the rebate program are expected to exceed the new biennial revenue. Estimated indirect impacts on personal income tax revenue are reductions of \$12 million in 2023-25, \$199 million in 2025-27, and \$207 million in 2027-29. Lastly, changes to the economy are projected to reduce state revenue by roughly \$11 million in 2023-25, \$150 million in 2025-27 and \$400 million in 2027-29.

The corporate kicker will increase by an estimated \$1.3 billion in 2025-27, and transfers to the Rainy Day Fund from the General Fund will be reduced.

Impact to local governments is unknown.

Measure Ballot Statement

2024 General Election

September 5, 2024

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 119

Cannabis retailers/processors must remain neutral regarding communications to their employees from labor organizations; penalties

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote requires cannabis retailers/processors to agree to remain neutral when labor organizations communicate with employees about collective bargaining rights; licensure/certification penalties possible.

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current labor laws; no requirement that cannabis retailers/processors agree to remain neutral on communications between their employees and labor organizations.

Summary: Current law generally guarantees employees' rights to organize and collectively bargain. Measure requires agreement between cannabis retailers/processors and a labor organization that meets the definition of labor organization under the National Labor Relations Act and whose operations are independent of the retailer/processor. At minimum, agreement must require that retailer/processor will remain neutral when labor organization representatives communicate with employees about collective bargaining rights. Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission must require such signed agreement or attestation of such agreement, in addition to existing licensure or certification requirements for cannabis retailers/processors. Failure to have a signed agreement or attestation, or to follow the agreement, may result in penalties, including fines or the denial, suspension, or revocation of retailer's/processor's license or certificate.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure will increase state government costs by approximately \$0.6 million in the first year. Ongoing costs will increase by approximately \$0.8 million each subsequent year. The estimated costs include six new positions to verify application documents and monitor compliance. The increased costs will be paid for by license application fees. There is no financial impact to local governments.