

Report No. 2009-11B

May 7, 2009



Kate Brown, Secretary of State  
Drummond Kahn, Interim Director, Audits Division

# Secretary of State Audit Report

State of Oregon

## **Oregon Youth Authority: Improvements Needed in Availability and Reliability of Critical Juvenile Justice Information**

### **Appendix B: Polk County Juvenile Justice Data**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION .....4

NOTES ABOUT THE DATA .....4

DEFINITION OF TERMS .....7

DATA TABLES – REFERRALS CLOSED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006 .....12

Polk County – Background Data .....12

        Table 1: The Total Number of Referrals that Closed During 2006 Classified by the Disposed Allegation Type .....12

        Table 2: Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to Referral Closure .....12

Polk County – Offender Data .....13

        Table 3: The Distribution of Age of Youth at First Delinquency Referral.....13

        Table 4: The Number of Youth with the Associated Total Number of Prior Referrals Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006 .....13

        Table 5: The Number of Youth by Prior Referral Category Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006 .....13

        Table 6: The Number of Youth with the Associated Number of Prior Formal Accountability Agreements Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006.....14

        Table 7: The Number of Youth with the Corresponding Most Intense Prior Level of Juvenile Justice Supervision Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006.....14

        Table 8: The Number of Youth with the Corresponding Number of Active Referrals During 2006 for Youth with at Least One Referral that Closed in 2006 .....14

        Table 9: The Distribution of Elapsed Time between Consecutive Referral Receive Dates for Youth with More than One Referral Active During 2006.....15

        Table 10: The Number of Youth by Re-Offense Category for the First Year after the Earliest System Exit Date.....15

        Table 11: The Total Number of Youth and the Percent of Youth for Whom a Risk Assessment Tool Was Completed by Assessment Type and Original Allegation Type .....16

Polk County – Referral Handling Data.....17

        Table 12: The Total Number of Referrals and the Percent of Referrals with Placement in Pre-Adjudicatory Detention Classified by Original Allegation Type .....17

        Table 13: The Number of Referrals with Placement in Pre-Adjudicatory Detention Classified by the Number of Prior Criminal, Non-Criminal, and Total Referrals .....18

Table 14: The Number of Referrals Classified by the Initial Referral Handling.....18

Table 15: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Referral Handling Category  
Classified by Original Allegation Type.....19

Table 16: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Referral Handling Category  
Classified by the Number of Total Prior Referrals.....19

Table 17: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by  
the Number of Total Prior Referrals.....20

Table 18: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by  
the Number of Prior Criminal Referrals .....20

Table 19: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by  
the Number of Prior Non-Criminal Referrals.....21

Table 20: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by  
the Disposed Allegation Type .....21

Table 21: The Number of Referrals with Placement in Post-Adjudicatory Detention  
Classified by the .....22

Polk County – Elapsed Time Data for Key Processes.....22

Table 22: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to Signing of  
the Formal Accountability Agreement for Referrals Assigned a Formal  
Accountability Agreement.....22

Table 23: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to the  
Adjudication Date for Adjudicated Referrals.....23

Table 24: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to the Petition  
Filing Date for Petitioned Referrals .....23

Table 25: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from the Petition Filing Date to the  
Adjudication Date for Adjudicated Referrals.....23

Table 26: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from the Adjudication Date to the  
Disposition Date for .....24

Polk County – Formal Accountability Agreement Data.....24

Table 27: The Number of Formal Accountability Agreements Classified by Disposed  
Allegation Type .....24

Table 28: The Number of Referrals with Assigned Formal Accountability  
Agreements for each Disposed.....24

Table 29: The Number of Referrals with Assigned Formal Accountability  
Agreements Classified by the.....25

Table 30: The Number of Referrals Subject to ORS 419C.230 by each Offense Type  
and Classified by the Exception Category.....25

Polk County – Adjudicated Referral Data .....25

Table 34: The Number of Referrals Petitioned Classified by Petition Filing Outcome ....25

Table 35: The Number of Adjudicated Referrals in Each Final Disposition Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....26

Table 36: The Number of Adjudicated Referrals for Each of the Required Condition Types Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....26

Table 37a: The Amount of Restitution Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....27

Table 37b: The Amount of Community Service Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....27

Table 37c: The Number of Program Intervention Conditions Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....28

Table 37d: The Number of Other Conditions Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....28

Table 37e: The Amount of Post-Adjudicatory Detention Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....29

Table 38a: The Number of Referrals with Restitution Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....29

Table 38b: The Number of Referrals with Community Service Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....30

Table 38c: The Number of Referrals with Program Intervention Conditions Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....30

Table 38d: The Number of Referrals with Other Conditions Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....31

Table 38e: The Number of Referrals with Post-Adjudicatory Detention Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type .....31

Table 39: The Number of Post-Adjudicatory Detention Orders Not Completed Classified by Reason .....32

Table 40: The Distribution of Elapsed Time on Probation for Adjudicated Referrals Ordered Probation .....32

Table 41: The Distribution of Elapsed Time on Probation for Adjudicated Referrals Ordered Probation and Categorized by Disposed Allegation Type .....32

## **INTRODUCTION**

This appendix presents data on juvenile offense cases and the associated youth handled by the Polk County Juvenile Department. The data answer key questions identified by stakeholders during our audit of the availability of reliable juvenile justice data. The data tables are organized into the following categories: background, offender, referral handling, elapsed time for key processes, formal accountability agreement, and adjudicated referral data.

## **NOTES ABOUT THE DATA**

### **Population Was Limited to Referrals Closed in Calendar Year 2006**

We chose delinquency and status offense referrals closed in calendar year 2006 as the primary reporting population. Answering some questions required the use of the youth's referral history and subsequent involvement in the juvenile justice system. We used referral records and associated youth as the reporting units. The population was limited to those referrals provided to the county juvenile department and subsequently entered into the Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS). We excluded expunged and dependency referral records.

Occasionally, multiple referrals for a single youth are received on the same day. For example, a youth may have broken into multiple vehicles in one day or over several days. Each vehicle break-in is reported on a separate police report, and each police report is recorded as a separate referral. During data analysis, we included each referral separately even if they were received on the same day.

We based our prior referral analysis on the day referrals were received. For some analyses, we counted all prior referrals for each referral that closed during 2006. In other cases, we determined prior referral information based on each youth's most recent referral to close in 2006. When multiple referrals were received on the same day, we included these in the prior referral count, except when multiple referrals were received on the same day as the referral being analyzed.

### **The Most Serious Allegation Type Was Reported**

We reported referrals involving multiple offenses or allegations by their most serious allegation type. For example, if a youth was charged with both a misdemeanor and a felony, the reported allegation type was felony. Allegation type categories were non-criminal, misdemeanor, and felony. We further reported on the type of felony offense (person, weapons, substance/alcohol, property, public order, or other).

Depending on the type of data presented, we reported on either the most serious original allegation type or the most serious disposed allegation type. An original allegation type is based on the original offense as listed on the referral. In some cases, the district attorney or court modified the original offense to a reduced or elevated charge after the referral was received. In such cases, we reported the modified allegation type, based on the modified offense, as the disposed allegation type. The disposed allegation type is the allegation type associated with the disposition.

## The Most Intense Disposition Was Reported

Referrals with more than one disposition were reported by their most intense or restrictive disposition. For example, probation would be the most intense disposition associated with a referral that had two allegations, one of which had a disposition of dismissed, and another that had a disposition of probation. A referral may also have an allegation with an initial disposition that is different from the final disposition. For example, a referral may have been initially handled with a formal accountability agreement, but had a final disposition of probation. This could happen if the youth failed to fulfill the conditions of the formal accountability agreement, the juvenile department filed a petition, and the resulting disposition was probation.

## Dispositions and Conditions Were Assigned to Multiple Referrals

In some cases, dispositions and conditions were assigned to more than one referral. For example, if a juvenile was charged and referred with a burglary and assault one day and another burglary charge a week later, this would represent two referrals. Both referrals might be connected to a single petition and a single disposition. In addition, the single disposition would have assigned conditions that would be associated with both referrals. Since we reported disposition and condition data at the referral level, dispositions and conditions were sometimes duplicated in our results.

## Condition Status Methodology Differed by Condition Type

This section explains the methodology we used for determining the completion status for the five different condition types: restitution, community service, detention, program intervention, and other. We used the field, *Completion Status*, to analyze the condition data in the following tables: Tables 37a through 37e, and Tables 38a through 38e.

We used a different methodology to determine the condition completion status depending on the condition type. For three condition types – restitution, community service, and detention – we used the condition fields *Total Ordered* and *Total Complete* to calculate the field *Completion Status*. We created the following values for *Completion Status*:

- “Completely Met” was assigned to conditions when the field *Total Complete* had an amount of dollars or hours that was equal to or greater than the amount in the field *Total Ordered*.
- “Partially Met” was assigned to conditions when the *Total Complete* amount was greater than zero, but less than the *Total Ordered* amount. When reporting the amount “Partially Met,” we provided the amount actually met. When reporting the amount “Partially Not Met,” we provided the result of subtracting the *Total Complete* amount from the *Total Ordered* amount.
- “Not Met” was assigned to conditions where the *Total Complete* amount was zero.

For the remaining two condition types, program intervention and other, we used the condition field *Condition Status* to calculate the field *Completion Status*. We created the same values of “Completely Met,” “Partially Met,” and “Not Met” for the field *Completion Status*, but used a different methodology, as detailed below. We also added the value “Vacated or Replaced.” All

five values are based on the number of conditions ordered and completed for a specific referral and use a field called *Condition Status*, which includes the following values: “Completed,” “Accepted as Complete,” “Incomplete,” “Vacated,” and “Replaced.” Examples of *Completion Status* values for program intervention and other conditions are as follows:

- “Completely Met” was assigned when all of the conditions had a *Condition Status* value of either “Completed” or “Accepted as Complete.”
- “Partially Met” was assigned when one or more conditions had a *Condition Status* value of either “Completed” or “Accepted as Complete,” and one or more conditions had a *Condition Status* value of “Incomplete.” For example, if three program intervention conditions are ordered and two of them have a *Condition Status* of “Completed” and one has a *Condition Status* of “Incomplete,” then the *Completion Status* would be “Partially Met.” When reporting the amount “Partially Met,” we provided the number of conditions with a *Condition Status* value of either “Completed” or “Accepted as Complete.” When reporting the amount “Partially Not Met,” we provided the number of conditions with a *Condition Status* value of “Incomplete.”
- “Not Met” was assigned when all of the conditions had a *Condition Status* of “Incomplete.”
- “Vacated or Replaced” was assigned to condition records for a referral when all of the conditions had a *Condition Status* of either “Vacated” or “Replaced.”

### Condition Data Were Not Always Reported Due to Data Reliability Issues

We conducted data reliability testing at each of the four counties we reviewed. We tested the accuracy of 31 data fields by comparing electronic data to supporting documentation on file at the counties. At Polk County, we found that three condition fields (*Condition Status*, *Total Ordered*, and *Total Complete*) associated with formal accountability agreements did not always agree with supporting documentation. More information about data reliability can be found in the body of the report, Oregon Youth Authority: Improvements Needed in Availability and Reliability of Critical Juvenile Justice Information (Report No. 2009-11).

We did not report condition data that we determined was insufficiently reliable or data for which the county lacked the supporting documentation necessary to assess reliability. For these reasons, we did not report the Polk County data for the following tables: Table 31, Tables 32a through 32d, and Tables 33a through 33d.

### Interstate Compact Referrals Were Excluded from Some Analyses

We removed interstate compact referral records from some of the analyses. For our purposes, we removed interstate compact referrals that were for youth who offended and were placed on probation in another state and who now reside in Oregon. For youth who now reside in Oregon, Oregon takes over the supervision of the youth on probation according to Oregon’s standards of supervision. We excluded the interstate compact referrals from specific tables when we wanted to limit the analysis to referrals that originated in Oregon.

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

**Adjudication:** The outcome of a court hearing where jurisdiction is established by a juvenile court, similar to a conviction in the adult system.

**Adjudicated Delinquent:** A youth who has been found by a judge in juvenile court to have committed a violation of the criminal law (i.e. a delinquent act).

**Age Out:** For the purposes of our report, the date at which a juvenile reached 18 years of age.

**Allegation:** A formal accusation against somebody, often in a court of law (See also Referral).

**Commit to Other Agency:** Auditor defined disposition field, which combines the following two Youth Authority defined dispositions: “Commit to DHS” and “Commit to Agency (or individual) Other Than DHS or OYA.” Examples of commitments to other than the Department of Human Services (DHS) include when a youth is committed to the Mental Health Division<sup>1</sup> or when a youth is committed to the custody of an individual person.

**Commit to OYA:** Auditor defined disposition field created by shortening the Youth Authority defined disposition “OYA Commitment for YCF.” Its definition is: After adjudication, the youth offender is committed to the legal custody of the Youth Authority for placement in a youth correctional facility (YCF) (ORS 419C.495).

**Community Service:** Uncompensated labor for an agency, the purpose of which is to enhance physical or mental stability, environmental quality or the social welfare. “Agency” means a nonprofit organization or public body agreeing to accept community service from offenders and to report on the progress of ordered community service to the court or its delegate.

**Condition:** Requirement that a youth must observe or complete during time under supervision (informal supervision, formal accountability agreement, probation, parole, etc.). A condition may be ordered or directed by the court, county juvenile department or Youth Authority and may include a variety of types of conditions including: general rules of supervision; restrictions on behavior; accountability-related expectations like restitution and community service; other monetary conditions like fines and fees; participation in a program, sanction or activity designed to change behavior; or other recurring activity that needs to be documented.

**Consolidated:** Auditor defined initial referral handling category. This represents the Youth Authority defined dispositions: “Dealt with Through Another Charge,” “Handled as a Parole Violation,” and “Handled as a Probation Violation” (See also Initial Referral Handling).

**Criminal:** Refers to misdemeanor and felony offenses.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Mental Health Division is a division of the Department of Human Services.

**Data Reliability:** Data reliability refers to the accuracy and completeness of computer processed data, given the intended purposes for use. Computer-processed data include data entered into a computer system and resulting from computer processing. Computer-processed data can vary in form, from electronic files to tables in published reports.

**Dealt with Through another Charge:** A disposition used to close a law violation allegation that the juvenile department has decided to incorporate into another charge. It differs from “Handled as a Probation Violation” because the youth may not yet be on probation and/or the response to the behavior may be incorporated into another adjudication.

**Dependency Referral:** A referral for the purpose of facilitating the use of protective social services to prevent further abuse, safeguard and enhance the welfare of abused children, or preserve family life when consistent with the protection of the child by stabilizing the family and improving parental capacity.

**Detention:** Youth confinement pursuant to a judicial commitment or order pending final adjudication of the case.

**Dismissed:** To put (a claim or action) out of court without further hearing.

**Disposition:** The final outcome of a referral and its allegations. The sentence given to or treatment prescribed for a juvenile offender.

**Diversion:** Suspension of the prosecution of a charge for a period of time during which the defendant participates in a rehabilitation program or makes restitution and after which the charges are dismissed if the rehabilitation or restitution is completed.

**Felony:** One of several grave crimes, such as murder, rape, or burglary, punishable by a more stringent sentence than that given for a misdemeanor.

**Felony Other:** A felony offense of a nature other than person, property, public order, weapons, or substance/alcohol. Examples include fraud, bribery, animal abuse, and various conspiracies to commit fraud.

**Felony Person:** A felony offense involving a person or persons, including assault, sexual abuse, kidnapping, and manslaughter.

**Felony Property:** A felony offense involving property including arson, theft, burglary, and robbery.

**Felony Public Order:** A felony offense relating to public order including disorderly conduct, intimidation, rioting, and racketeering. For the purpose of this audit, we have excluded weapons offenses from this category (See Felony Weapons).

**Felony Substance/Alcohol:** A felony offense involving drugs or narcotics including the manufacture, delivery, possession, or trafficking of various controlled substances, drugs, or narcotics.

**Felony Weapons:** A felony offense involving weapons including the manufacturing, sale, possession, or use of a dangerous weapon, firearm, or destructive device.

**Formal Accountability Agreement:** A voluntary contract between a youth and a juvenile department whereby the youth agrees to fulfill certain conditions in exchange for not having a petition filed against him or her.

**Formal Sanction:** A type of disposition designed to record when a youth is given a single condition or set of conditions as the only disposition. When completed, it results in a closed allegation.

**Handled as a Parole Violation:** A disposition used to close a new law violation allegation that is being handled as a Parole Violation (See also Parole).

**Handled as a Probation Violation:** A disposition used to close a new law violation allegation that is being handled as a Probation Violation (See also Probation Violation).

**Initial Referral Handling:** During intake or district attorney review, the initial decision made or disposition given to a juvenile offender (See also Intake).

**Intake:** The process used for every youth referred to a county juvenile department. Intake involves screening each youth to determine the appropriateness for release or referral to a diversionary program or agency for nonofficial or nonjudicial handling. This screening also identifies the presence of medical, psychiatric, psychological, substance abuse, and educational problems or other conditions that may have caused the youth to come to the attention of law enforcement or others. Intake includes initial screening of a status offender to determine the recommended action to be taken in the best interests of the youth, the family, and the community.

**Interstate Compact:** The cooperation of the states to provide for the welfare and protection of juveniles and of the public with respect to (1) cooperative supervision of delinquent juveniles on probation or parole; (2) the return, from one state to another, of delinquent juveniles who have escaped or absconded; (3) the return, from one state to another, of non-delinquent juveniles who have run away from home; and (4) additional measures for the protection of juveniles and of the public, which any two or more of the party states may find desirable to undertake cooperatively.

**JCP Risk Assessment:** The Juvenile Crime Prevention (JCP) risk assessment tool was developed for use by Oregon county juvenile departments to identify risk and protective factors that put youth at risk of delinquency, and to guide decisions regarding level and type of intervention and/or supervision. All counties are required to use the JCP tool during the intake process for youth referred to them.

**Juvenile Delinquency:** Behavior of a child or youth that is so marked by violation of law, persistent mischievousness, antisocial behavior, disobedience, or intractability as to thwart correction by parents and to constitute a matter for action by the juvenile courts.

**Misdemeanor:** A crime less serious than a felony, including, but not limited to, littering, trespassing, resisting arrest, assault, harassment, theft, or disorderly conduct.

**Money Judgment:** A judgment against the defendant or complainant in a criminal action, so far as it requires the payment of a fine, fee, assessment, costs or disbursements of the action or restitution; may be enforced as a judgment in a civil action.

**Non-Criminal:** Non-criminal offenses include violations, including local ordinance violations and traffic violations. They also include status offenses (See also Status Offense).

**OYA Risk Assessment:** The Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) Risk Needs Assessment tool is used for youth committed to the Youth Authority. The Youth Authority has a key performance measure goal for youth to receive an OYA Risk Needs Assessment within 60 days of commitment or admission.

**Parole:** The conditional release of a person from prison prior to the end of the maximum sentence imposed.

**Petition:** An application for a court order or for some judicial action. In accordance with Oregon Revised Statute 419C.250, the state, acting through the district attorney, Attorney General or, when authorized by the district attorney, the juvenile department counselor, may file a petition alleging that a youth is within the jurisdiction of the court.

**Post-Adjudicatory Detention:** Detention of a youth that is court ordered following adjudication (See also Detention).

**Pre-Adjudicatory Detention:** Detention of a youth prior to adjudication proceedings, with the detention reason of either “New Law Violation,” “Parole Violation,” “Probation Violation,” “Runaway from Another State,” “Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour),” or “Violation of Conditional Release” (See also Detention).

**Probation:** A method of dealing with offenders, especially young persons guilty of minor crimes or first offenses, by allowing them to go at large under supervision of a probation officer.

**Probation Officer:** An official usually attached to a juvenile court and charged with the care of juvenile delinquents.

**Probation Violation:** Either a technical violation of a condition of probation or a new law violation that is handled as a Probation Violation.

**Program Intervention:** A category used to classify conditions that have an educational, treatment, or behavior intervention component.

**Referral:** A referral is any allegation or group of allegations received by a juvenile department at any one time, documented by a police report or other formal means of referral. Each referral must have an allegation reason defined by an ORS number or JJIS equivalent.

**Referred to Another Agency:** A referral sent to another agency prior to disposition that results in the closing of the referral. Examples include referral to the U.S. Citizen and

Immigration Services (formerly Immigration and Naturalization Service) and cases that are completely “diverted” to a private community agency and closed.

**Restitution:** Full, partial or nominal payment of economic damages to a victim.

**Status Offense:** Offenses that apply only to youth, such as skipping school, running away, breaking curfew, and possession or use of alcohol.

**System Exit Date:** For the purposes of our audit, the earliest date for which a youth had no active referrals (criminal or non-criminal) at referral closure as recorded in JJIS.

**DATA TABLES – REFERRALS CLOSED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006****Polk County – Background Data****Table 1: The Total Number of Referrals that Closed During 2006 Classified by the Disposed Allegation Type<sup>2</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Non-Criminal	397	40%
Misdemeanor	484	49%
Total Felony	102	10%
Felony Person	21	2%
Felony Weapons	1	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	22	2%
Felony Property	50	5%
Felony Public Order	2	0%
Felony Other	6	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 2: Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to Referral Closure<sup>3</sup>**

<b>Elapsed Time (Days)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Less than 30	173	18%
30 – 59	80	8%
60 – 89	110	11%
90 – 179	342	35%
180 – 364	165	17%
365 – 730	72	7%
More than 730	37	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>2</sup> Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

<sup>3</sup> Table 2 excludes four interstate compact referrals.

## **Polk County – Offender Data**

**Table 3: The Distribution of Age of Youth at First Delinquency Referral**

<b>Age (Years)</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
Under 10	40	7%
10 – 11	71	12%
12 – 13	176	30%
14 – 15	206	35%
16 or older	89	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 4: The Number of Youth with the Associated Total Number of Prior Referrals Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006<sup>4</sup>**

<b>Number of Total Prior Referrals</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
0	245	42%
1	113	19%
2	69	12%
3	38	7%
4	24	4%
More than 4	93	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 5: The Number of Youth by Prior Referral Category Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006**

<b>Prior Referral Category</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
No Prior Referrals	245	42%
Prior Non-Criminal Referrals Only	66	11%
Prior Criminal Referrals Only	112	19%
Both Prior Criminal and Non-Criminal Referrals	159	27%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>4</sup> We based our prior referral analysis on the day referrals were received.

**Table 6: The Number of Youth with the Associated Number of Prior Formal Accountability Agreements Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006**

<b>Number of Prior Formal Accountability Agreements</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
0	509	87%
1	62	11%
2	10	2%
More than 2	1	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 7: The Number of Youth with the Corresponding Most Intense Prior Level of Juvenile Justice Supervision Based on the Most Recent Referral to Close During 2006**

<b>Prior Level of Supervision</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
No Prior Supervision	294	51%
Other Diversion	165	28%
Formal Accountability Agreement	46	8%
Formal Sanction	2	0%
Probation	66	11%
Commit to Other Agency <sup>5</sup>	4	1%
Commit to OYA	5	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 8: The Number of Youth with the Corresponding Number of Active Referrals During 2006 for Youth with at Least One Referral that Closed in 2006**

<b>Number of Active Referrals During 2006</b>	<b>Number of Youth</b>	<b>Percent of Youth</b>
1	329	57%
2	121	21%
3	51	9%
More than 3	81	14%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>5</sup> The “Commit to Other Agency” and “Commit to OYA” categories each include one youth with both probation and commitment.

**Table 9: The Distribution of Elapsed Time between Consecutive Referral Receive Dates for Youth with More than One Referral Active During 2006<sup>6</sup>**

Elapsed Time (Days)	Number of Referrals
Less than 31 <sup>7</sup>	323
31 – 60	96
61 – 90	57
91 – 180	87
181 – 365	92
More than 365	38

Reading the table: For example, there were 96 instances in which between 31 and 60 days elapsed from the date one referral active in 2006 for a youth was received and the date that the subsequent referral, also active during 2006, was received.

**Table 10: The Number of Youth by Re-Offense Category for the First Year after the Earliest System Exit Date**

Re-Offense Category	Number of Youth	Percent of Youth
New Criminal Referral Total <sup>8</sup>	113	19%
Within 90 days	33	6%
91 – 180 days	32	5%
181 – 270 days	21	4%
271 – 365 days	27	5%
Age Out Before New Criminal Referral <sup>9</sup>	86	15%
No System Exit <sup>10</sup>	38	7%
Age Out at System Exit <sup>11</sup>	72	12%
No New Criminal Referral <sup>12</sup>	273	47%
<b>Total</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>6</sup> Table 9 includes youth with at least one referral that closed in 2006. It also includes referrals active during 2006 that did not close in 2006.

<sup>7</sup> Of the 323 referrals that were received less than 31 days from the prior referral, 159 of these were multiple referrals received on the same day.

<sup>8</sup> “New Criminal Referral” includes those youth that had a new referral after the *System Exit Date* with allegations classified as felonies or misdemeanors.

<sup>9</sup> “Age Out Before New Criminal Referral” are those youth who turned 18 years old before the end of one year after the *System Exit Date* and for whom no criminal referral was recorded in JJIS after the *System Exit Date*.

<sup>10</sup> “No System Exit” includes those youth who had at least one referral active from the earliest referral active in 2006 through the rest of 2006.

<sup>11</sup> “Age Out at System Exit” includes those youth who were at least 18 years old at the *System Exit Date*.

<sup>12</sup> “No New Criminal Referral” includes those youth who did not receive a criminal referral as recorded in JJIS for the first year after their *System Exit Date* and who did not turn 18 years old during that time frame.

**Table 11: The Total Number of Youth and the Percent of Youth for Whom a Risk Assessment Tool Was Completed by Assessment Type and Original Allegation Type**

<b>Original Allegation Type</b>	<b>Total Number of Youth<sup>13</sup></b>	<b>Percent of Youth with a JCP Risk Assessment</b>	<b>Percent of Youth with an OYA Risk Assessment</b>	<b>Percent of Youth with a Risk Assessment (JCP or OYA)</b>
Non-Criminal	207	74%	3%	75%
Misdemeanor	283	84%	7%	85%
Total Felony	90	70%	22%	78%
Felony Person	20	45%	45%	60%
Felony Weapons	2	50%	0%	50%
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	19	68%	16%	74%
Felony Property	40	78%	20%	85%
Felony Public Order	2	100%	0%	100%
Felony Other	7	100%	0%	100%
All Youth	580	78%	8%	80%

Reading the table: For example, of 90 youth with an original allegation type of felony, a JCP risk assessment was completed for 70% of these youth, an OYA risk assessment was completed for 22% of these youth, and either a JCP or OYA risk assessment was completed for 78% of these youth. The percentages are not intended to add up to 100%.

---

<sup>13</sup> Table 11 excludes two youth that only had a referral type of “Interstate Compact.” Risk assessments are not conducted for youth that are not seen face to face by the juvenile department, due to, for example, dismissal of the referral.

## **Polk County – Referral Handling Data**

**Table 12: The Total Number of Referrals and the Percent of Referrals with Placement in Pre-Adjudicatory Detention Classified by Original Allegation Type<sup>14</sup>**

<b>Original Allegation Type</b>	<b>Total Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Pre-Adjudicatory Detention</b>
Non-Criminal <sup>15</sup>	479	3%
Misdemeanor	393	8%
Total Felony	107	41%
Felony Person	24	71%
Felony Weapons	2	100%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	22	27%
Felony Property	48	35%
Felony Public Order	2	50%
Felony Other	9	11%
All Referrals	979	10%

Reading the table: For example, of 107 referrals with an original allegation type of felony, 41% of these referrals resulted in the youth being placed in pre-adjudicatory detention. The percentages are not intended to add up to 100%.

<sup>14</sup> Table 12 excludes four interstate compact referrals. (983 - 979 = 4)

<sup>15</sup> Table 12 shows 3 percent of “Non-Criminal” referrals had pre-adjudicatory detention, which is based on 13 non-criminal referrals. Seven of these were due to probation violations. The other 6 were new law violation status offenses, of which four were runaway, one was alcohol/minor in possession, and one was tobacco.

**Table 13: The Number of Referrals with Placement in Pre-Adjudicatory Detention Classified by the Number of Prior Criminal, Non-Criminal, and Total Referrals**

Number of Prior Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Pre-Adjudicatory Detention	Number of Prior Non-Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Pre-Adjudicatory Detention	Number of Prior Criminal or Non-Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Pre-Adjudicatory Detention
0	13	0	34	0	10
1	12	1	26	1	9
2	12	2	7	2	10
3	11	3	10	3	10
4	7	4	6	4	5
5	6	5	5	5	6
6	6	6	2	6	6
7	8	7	0	7	4
8	3	8	0	8	3
9	1	9	1	9	3
10 or more	15	10 or more	3	10 or more	28
<b>Total</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>94</b>

Reading the table: For example, of the 94 referrals that had placement in pre-adjudicatory detention, 12 referrals had youth with 1 prior criminal referral; 26 referrals had youth with 1 prior non-criminal referral, and 9 referrals had youth with 1 prior referral (either criminal or non-criminal).

**Table 14: The Number of Referrals Classified by the Initial Referral Handling Category<sup>16</sup>**

Initial Referral Handling Category	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals
Dismissed	237	24%
Consolidated	115	12%
Referred to Another Agency	31	3%
Other Diversion	342	35%
Formal Accountability Agreement	37	4%
Petition Filed	217	22%
<b>Total</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>16</sup> Table 14 excludes four interstate compact referrals.

**Table 15: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Referral Handling Category Classified by Original Allegation Type<sup>17</sup>**

<b>Original Allegation Type</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>	<b>Referred to Another Agency</b>	<b>Other Diversion</b>	<b>FAA</b>	<b>Petition Filed</b>
Non-Criminal	156	28	11	138	4	56
Misdemeanor	69	82	10	193	24	101
Total Felony	12	5	10	11	9	60
Felony Person	1	2	4	0	1	16
Felony Weapons	0	0	0	0	0	2
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	4	2	1	1	1	13
Felony Property	6	1	5	10	6	20
Felony Public Order	0	0	0	0	0	2
Felony Other	1	0	0	0	1	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>217</b>

**Table 16: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Referral Handling Category Classified by the Number of Total Prior Referrals<sup>16</sup>**

<b>Number of Total Prior Referrals</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>	<b>Referred to Another Agency</b>	<b>Other Diversion</b>	<b>FAA</b>	<b>Petition Filed</b>
0	49	1	8	210	7	24
1	44	3	6	64	4	31
2	29	5	3	35	6	26
3	28	5	1	14	1	24
4	18	4	1	8	2	18
5	14	24	3	1	6	11
More than 5	55	73	9	10	11	83
<b>Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>217</b>

<sup>17</sup> Table 15 and Table 16 exclude four interstate compact referrals.

**Table 17: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by the Number of Total Prior Referrals<sup>18</sup>**

Number of Total Prior Referrals	Dismissed <sup>19</sup>	Other Diversion	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit to OYA <sup>20</sup>	Commit to Other Agency	Referred to Another Agency
0	61	211	7	1	11	0	0	8
1	58	65	4	0	19	0	0	6
2	42	35	6	0	18	0	0	3
3	41	14	2	2	13	0	0	1
4	30	8	2	0	9	1	0	1
5	44	1	6	0	5	0	0	3
6	21	3	3	1	3	0	0	2
7	15	3	2	2	5	0	0	0
8	51	0	3	0	8	0	0	2
9	10	1	0	1	8	0	0	0
10 or more	58	3	3	1	22	4	1	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>

**Table 18: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by the Number of Prior Criminal Referrals<sup>17</sup>**

Number of Prior Criminal Referrals	Dismissed <sup>18</sup>	Other Diversion	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit to OYA <sup>19</sup>	Commit to Other Agency	Referred to Another Agency
0	108	234	9	1	29	0	0	10
1	72	71	9	2	24	0	0	7
2	51	25	8	1	10	0	0	3
3	79	6	3	2	12	1	0	2
4	46	3	3	0	6	0	0	1
5	23	4	1	0	10	0	1	2
6	10	0	1	1	6	0	0	1
7	9	0	1	0	5	1	0	1
8	5	0	1	1	7	0	0	1
9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
10 or more	28	1	2	0	8	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>

<sup>18</sup> Table 17 and Table 18 exclude four interstate compact referrals.<sup>19</sup> The “Dismissed” category for Table 17, 18, and subsequent tables include consolidated referrals.<sup>20</sup> The “Commit to OYA” category in Table 17 and Table 18 include two referrals with both probation and commitment.

**Table 19: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by the Number of Prior Non-Criminal Referrals<sup>21</sup>**

Number of Prior Non-Criminal Referrals	Dismissed	Other Diversion	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit to OYA <sup>22</sup>	Commit to Other Agency	Referred to Another Agency
0	133	281	14	2	36	0	0	16
1	106	40	7	2	37	1	0	7
2	51	12	9	0	14	0	0	2
3	37	2	5	0	13	2	0	2
4	15	5	1	1	5	0	0	0
5	57	2	0	1	6	1	0	3
6	8	1	2	1	5	0	0	0
7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
9	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
10 or more	8	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>

**Table 20: The Number of Referrals in Each Initial Disposition Category Classified by the Disposed Allegation Type<sup>20</sup>**

Disposed Allegation Type	Dismissed	Other Diversion	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit to OYA <sup>21</sup>	Commit to Other Agency	Referred to Another Agency
Non-Criminal	206	140	4	4	27	0	1	11
Misdemeanor	191	193	27	1	59	3	0	10
Total Felony	34	11	7	3	35	2	0	10
Felony Person	7	0	0	0	9	1	0	4
Felony Weapons	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	10	1	1	1	7	1	0	1
Felony Property	15	10	5	2	13	0	0	5
Felony Public Order	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Felony Other	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>

<sup>21</sup> Table 19 and Table 20 exclude four interstate compact referrals.

<sup>22</sup> The “Commit to OYA” category in Table 19 and Table 20 include two referrals with both probation and commitment.

**Table 21: The Number of Referrals with Placement in Post-Adjudicatory Detention Classified by the Number of Prior Criminal, Non-Criminal, and Total Referrals**

Number of Prior Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Post-Adjudicatory Detention	Number of Prior Non-Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Post-Adjudicatory Detention	Number of Prior Criminal or Non-Criminal Referrals	Number of Referrals w/ Post-Adjudicatory Detention
0	8	0	12	0	6
1	6	1	6	1	6
2	2	2	1	2	1
3	2	3	4	3	1
4	1	4	1	4	0
5	3	5	1	5	3
6	2	6	2	6	2
7	1	7	0	7	2
8	0	8	0	8	2
9	3	9	0	9	1
10 or more	0	10 or more	1	10 or more	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>

Reading the table: For example, of the 28 referrals that had placement in post-adjudicatory detention, 8 referrals had youth with 0 prior criminal referrals; 12 referrals had youth with 0 prior non-criminal referrals, and 6 referrals had youth with 0 prior referrals (either criminal or non-criminal).

## **Polk County – Elapsed Time Data for Key Processes**

**Table 22: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to Signing of the Formal Accountability Agreement for Referrals Assigned a Formal Accountability Agreement<sup>23</sup>**

Elapsed Time (Days)	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals
Less than 10	6	16%
10 – 19	4	11%
20 – 29	8	21%
30 – 39	3	8%
40 – 49	6	16%
50 – 59	4	11%
60 or more	7	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>23</sup> Table 22 includes all referrals in which a formal accountability agreement was assigned, not just those assigned as part of the initial referral handling process.

**Table 23: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to the Adjudication Date for Adjudicated Referrals**

<b>Elapsed Time (Days)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Less than 30	14	9%
30 – 59	43	28%
60 – 89	36	24%
90 – 119	14	9%
120 – 149	11	7%
150 – 179	8	5%
180 – 209	7	5%
210 – 239	8	5%
240 or more	10	7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 24: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from Receipt of Referral to the Petition Filing Date for Petitioned Referrals<sup>24</sup>**

<b>Elapsed Time (Days)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Less than 30	178	73%
30 – 59	23	9%
60 – 89	16	7%
90 – 119	3	1%
120 – 149	3	1%
150 – 179	5	2%
180 or more	15	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 25: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from the Petition Filing Date to the Adjudication Date for Adjudicated Referrals**

<b>Elapsed Time (Days)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Less than 30	28	19%
30 – 59	63	42%
60 – 89	26	17%
90 – 119	18	12%
120 – 149	9	6%
150 – 179	1	1%
180 or more	6	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>24</sup> Table 24 includes all referrals in which a petition was filed, not just petitions filed as part of the initial referral handling process.

**Table 26: The Distribution of Elapsed Time from the Adjudication Date to the Disposition Date for Adjudicated Referrals**

<b>Elapsed Time (Days)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Less than 7 <sup>25</sup>	117	77%
7 – 13	4	3%
14 – 20	12	8%
21 – 27	3	2%
28 – 34	2	1%
35 or more	13	9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>100%</b>

## **Polk County – Formal Accountability Agreement Data**

**Table 27: The Number of Formal Accountability Agreements Classified by Disposed Allegation Type**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Agreements</b>	<b>Percent of Agreements</b>
Non-Criminal	4	11%
Misdemeanor	27	71%
Total Felony	7	18%
Felony Person	0	0%
Felony Weapons	0	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	1	3%
Felony Property	5	13%
Felony Public Order	0	0%
Felony Other	1	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 28: The Number of Referrals with Assigned Formal Accountability Agreements for each Disposed Allegation Type and Classified by the Formal Accountability Agreement Outcome**

<b>FAA Outcome</b>	<b>Non-Criminal</b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Felony</b>	<b>All Referrals</b>
Closed but not Completed	0	2	2	4
Completed Within One Year	3	17	3	23
Extended and Completed	1	0	0	1
Petition Filed	0	8	2	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>38</b>

<sup>25</sup> The “Less than 7” category in Table 26 includes 111 referrals in which the adjudication date and disposition date were the same.

**Table 29: The Number of Referrals with Assigned Formal Accountability Agreements Classified by the ORS 419C.230 Compliance Category**

<b>ORS 419C.230 Compliance Category</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>
Not Subject	37
Compliant (Authorized by DA)	0
Non-Compliant	1

**Table 30: The Number of Referrals Subject to ORS 419C.230 by each Offense Type and Classified by the Exception Category**

<b>Exception Category</b>	<b>Sex Offense</b>	<b>Firearms Offense</b>	<b>Explosive Device Offense</b>	<b>Multiple Felonies</b>
Compliant (Authorized by DA)	0	0	0	0
Non-Compliant	0	0	0	1

We did not report the Polk County data for Table 31, Tables 32a through 32d, and Tables 33a through 33d due to data reliability concerns. During data reliability testing procedures, we determined that the Polk County condition data associated with formal accountability agreements was not sufficiently reliable to report.

## **Polk County – Adjudicated Referral Data**

**Table 34: The Number of Referrals Petitioned Classified by Petition Filing Outcome**

<b>Petition Filing Outcome</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
Adjudicated Delinquent	151	62%
Formal Accountability Agreement <sup>26</sup>	0	0%
Diversion/Other	1	0%
Dismissed <sup>27</sup>	91	38%
Converted to a Dependency Referral	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>26</sup> A “Formal Accountability Agreement” could be an outcome of petition filing due to a court decision or plea bargain agreement.

<sup>27</sup> There was one post-adjudication dismissal of a misdemeanor offense (where jurisdiction was vacated or set aside). A reason for the dismissal was not found. However, it appeared that at least some conditions were completed before the referral was dismissed.

**Table 35: The Number of Adjudicated Referrals in Each Final Disposition Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>28</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Formal Sanction</b>	<b>Probation</b>	<b>Commit to OYA</b>	<b>Probation &amp; Commit to OYA</b>	<b>Commit to Other Agency</b>
Non-Criminal	5	28	0	0	1
Misdemeanor	3	58	8	6	0
Total Felony	4	30	6	2	0
Felony Person	0	5	4	1	0
Felony Weapons	0	1	0	0	0
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	1	7	2	0	0
Felony Property	3	12	0	1	0
Felony Public Order	0	2	0	0	0
Felony Other	0	3	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>

**Table 36: The Number of Adjudicated Referrals for Each of the Required Condition Types Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>29</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Restitution</b>	<b>Community Service</b>	<b>Program Intervention</b>	<b>Other Condition</b>	<b>Post-Adjudicatory Detention</b>
Non-Criminal	1	1	18	23	2
Misdemeanor	16	32	46	46	25
Total Felony	6	14	22	25	14
Felony Person	0	1	4	4	2
Felony Weapons	0	1	1	1	1
Felony Substance/Alcohol	0	1	4	5	2
Felony Property	5	9	11	12	7
Felony Public Order	0	1	1	1	1
Felony Other	1	1	1	2	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>41</b>

<sup>28</sup> Table 35 excludes interstate compact referrals.

<sup>29</sup> Table 36 only includes restitution, community service, and detention conditions where the *Amount Ordered* field has a value greater than zero. Tables 36 through 39 exclude referrals with youth committed to the legal custody of the Youth Authority for placement in a youth correctional facility.

**Table 37a: The Amount of Restitution Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>30</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Restitution Completely Met (\$)</b>	<b>Restitution Partially Met (\$)</b>	<b>Restitution Partially Not Met (\$)</b>	<b>Restitution Not Met (\$)</b>	<b>Total Restitution Ordered (\$)</b>
Non-Criminal	20.00	0	0	0	20.00
Misdemeanor	1,729.31	20.00	102.00	6,084.27	7,935.58
Total Felony	2,017.99	0	0	11,661.55	13,679.54
Felony Person	0	0	0	0	0
Felony Weapons	0	0	0	0	0
Felony Substance/Alcohol	0	0	0	0	0
Felony Property	51.00	0	0	11,661.55	11,712.55
Felony Public Order	0	0	0	0	0
Felony Other	1,966.99	0	0	0	1,966.99

**Table 37b: The Amount of Community Service Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>31</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Community Service Completely Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Community Service Partially Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Community Service Partially Not Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Community Service Not Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Total Community Service Ordered (Hrs)</b>
Non-Criminal	0	10	50	0	60
Misdemeanor	868	535	516	208	2127
Total Felony	512	277.5	357.5	0	1147
Felony Person	16	0	0	0	16
Felony Weapons	100	0	0	0	100
Felony Substance/Alcohol	0	139	55	0	194
Felony Property	266	138.5	302.5	0	707
Felony Public Order	70	0	0	0	70
Felony Other	60	0	0	0	60

<sup>30</sup> Table 37a reports the restitution data for each referral. Because, in some cases, multiple referrals are combined into one disposition, the table may include the same restitution data for more than one disposed allegation type. For example, one youth had a “Felony Property” referral and a “Misdemeanor” referral with restitution ordered in the amount of \$482.99. This amount was not met, thus the \$482.99 is included under the “Restitution Not Met” column for the “Misdemeanor,” “Total Felony,” and “Felony Property” categories. For all referrals, the total unduplicated restitution ordered was \$9,866.41, and a total of \$3,676.14 was paid. Of the \$6,190.27 restitution that was not met, \$6,081.27 had a condition status of “Money Judgment.”

<sup>31</sup> Table 37b reports the community service data for each referral. Because, in some cases, multiple referrals are combined into one disposition, the table may include the same community service data for more than one disposed allegation type. For example, one youth had a “Felony Property” referral and a “Misdemeanor” referral with community service ordered in the amount of 70 hours. This amount was partially met, thus a portion of the 70 hours is included under the “Community Service Partially Met” and “Partially Not Met” columns for the “Misdemeanor,” “Total Felony,” and “Felony Property” categories. For all referrals, the total unduplicated community service ordered was 2616 hours, and a total of 1800.5 hours were served.

**Table 37c: The Number of Program Intervention Conditions Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>32</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Program Interventions Completely Met</b>	<b>Program Interventions Partially Met</b>	<b>Program Interventions Partially Not Met</b>	<b>Program Interventions Not Met</b>	<b>Total Program Interventions Ordered</b>
Non-Criminal	18	0	0	4	22
Misdemeanor	60	65	29	10	164
Total Felony	36	7	7	11	61
Felony Person	12	0	0	1	13
Felony Weapons	1	0	0	0	1
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	8	2	3	0	13
Felony Property	8	5	4	10	27
Felony Public Order	3	0	0	0	3
Felony Other	4	0	0	0	4

**Table 37d: The Number of Other Conditions Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>33</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Other Conditions Completely Met</b>	<b>Other Conditions Partially Met</b>	<b>Other Conditions Partially Not Met</b>	<b>Other Conditions Not Met</b>	<b>Total Other Conditions Ordered</b>
Non-Criminal	37	17	13	1	68
Misdemeanor	294	230	67	19	610
Total Felony	199	71	13	19	302
Felony Person	77	0	0	0	77
Felony Weapons	16	0	0	0	16
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	41	18	1	0	60
Felony Property	51	34	11	19	115
Felony Public Order	13	0	0	0	13
Felony Other	1	19	1	0	21

<sup>32</sup> Table 37c reports the program intervention condition data for each referral. Because, in some cases, multiple referrals are combined into one disposition, the table may include the same program intervention condition data for more than one disposed allegation type. For example, one youth had a “Felony Property” and “Misdemeanor” referral with a program intervention condition of “Participate in Substance Abuse Evaluation.” This condition was completely met. Thus, the program intervention condition was included in the “Program Interventions Completely Met” column for the “Misdemeanor,” “Total Felony,” and “Felony Property” categories.

<sup>33</sup> Table 37d reports the other condition data for each referral. Because, in some cases, multiple referrals are combined into one disposition, the table may include the same condition data for more than one disposed allegation type. For example, one youth had a “Felony Person” and “Misdemeanor” referral with an ‘other’ condition of “Obey All Laws” This condition was completely met. Thus, the condition was included in the “Other Conditions Completely Met” column for the “Misdemeanor,” “Total Felony,” and “Felony Person” categories.

**Table 37e: The Amount of Post-Adjudicatory Detention Ordered and Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>34</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Detention Completely Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Detention Partially Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Detention Partially Not Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Detention Not Met (Hrs)</b>	<b>Total Detention Ordered (Hrs)</b>
Non-Criminal	0	3	5	10	18
Misdemeanor	37	186	30	208	461
Total Felony	0	10	6	136	152
Felony Person	0	0	0	16	16
Felony Weapons	0	5	3	0	8
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	0	0	0	16	16
Felony Property	0	5	3	88	96
Felony Public Order	0	0	0	8	8
Felony Other	0	0	0	8	8

**Table 38a: The Number of Referrals with Restitution Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>35</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals w/ Restitution Ordered</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Restitution Completely Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Restitution Partially Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Restitution Not Met</b>
Non-Criminal	1	100%	0%	0%
Misdemeanor	16	63%	6%	31%
Total Felony	6	33%	0%	67%
Felony Person	0	0%	0%	0%
Felony Weapons	0	0%	0%	0%
Felony Substance/ Alcohol	0	0%	0%	0%
Felony Property	5	20%	0%	80%
Felony Public Order	0	0%	0%	0%
Felony Other	1	100%	0%	0%
All Referrals w/ Restitution	23	57%	4%	39%

<sup>34</sup> Table 37e reports the post-adjudicatory detention data for each referral. Because, in some cases, multiple referrals are combined into one disposition, the table may include the same detention data for more than one disposed allegation type. For example, one youth had a “Felony Property” referral and a “Misdemeanor” referral with 8 hours of detention ordered. This amount was not met. Thus, 8 hours is included under the “Detention Not Met” column for the “Misdemeanor,” “Total Felony,” and “Felony Property” categories. For all referrals, the total unduplicated detention ordered was 423 hours, and a total of 201 was served.

<sup>35</sup> Of 23 referrals with restitution ordered, 10 had restitution orders that were not completely met. Two (20%) of the 10 referrals were assigned a consequence, with 0 (0%) consequences having been completed.

**Table 38b: The Number of Referrals with Community Service Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>36</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals w/ Community Service Ordered</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Community Service Completely Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Community Service Partially Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Community Service Not Met</b>
Non-Criminal	1	0%	100%	0%
Misdemeanor	32	56%	31%	13%
Total Felony	14	57%	43%	0%
Felony Person	1	100%	0%	0%
Felony Weapons	1	100%	0%	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	1	0%	100%	0%
Felony Property	9	44%	56%	0%
Felony Public Order	1	100%	0%	0%
Felony Other	1	100%	0%	0%
All Referrals w/Community Service	47	55%	36%	9%

**Table 38c: The Number of Referrals with Program Intervention Conditions Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>37</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals w/ Program Interventions Ordered</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Program Interventions Completely Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Program Interventions Partially Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Program Interventions Not Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Program Interventions Vacated or Replaced</b>
Non-Criminal	18	78%	0%	22%	0%
Misdemeanor	46	63%	28%	9%	0%
Total Felony	22	55%	18%	27%	0%
Felony Person	4	75%	0%	25%	0%
Felony Weapons	1	100%	0%	0%	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	4	75%	25%	0%	0%
Felony Property	11	27%	27%	45%	0%
Felony Public Order	1	100%	0%	0%	0%
Felony Other	1	100%	0%	0%	0%
All Referrals w/ Program Interventions	86	64%	20%	16%	0%

<sup>36</sup> Of 47 referrals with community service ordered, 21 had community service orders that were not completely met. Ten (48%) of the 21 referrals were assigned a consequence, with 8 (80%) consequences having been completed.

<sup>37</sup> Of 86 referrals with program interventions ordered, 31 had program intervention orders that were not completely met. Sixteen (52%) of the 31 referrals were assigned a consequence, with 15 (94%) consequences having been completed.

**Table 38d: The Number of Referrals with Other Conditions Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>38</sup>**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals w/ Other Conditions Ordered</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Other Conditions Completely Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Other Conditions Partially Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Other Conditions Not Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Other Conditions Vacated or Replaced</b>
Non-Criminal	23	70%	26%	4%	0%
Misdemeanor	46	59%	39%	2%	0%
Total Felony	25	68%	28%	4%	0%
Felony Person	4	100%	0%	0%	0%
Felony Weapons	1	100%	0%	0%	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	5	60%	40%	0%	0%
Felony Property	12	58%	33%	8%	0%
Felony Public Order	1	100%	0%	0%	0%
Felony Other	2	50%	50%	0%	0%
All Referrals w/ Other Conditions	94	64%	33%	3%	0%

**Table 38e: The Number of Referrals with Post-Adjudicatory Detention Ordered and the Percentage of Referrals by Completion Category Classified by Disposed Allegation Type**

<b>Disposed Allegation Type</b>	<b>Number of Referrals w/ Detention Ordered</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Detention Completely Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Detention Partially Met</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals w/ Detention Not Met</b>
Non-Criminal	2	0%	50%	50%
Misdemeanor	25	20%	36%	44%
Total Felony	14	0%	14%	86%
Felony Person	2	0%	0%	100%
Felony Weapons	1	0%	100%	0%
Felony Substance/Alcohol	2	0%	0%	100%
Felony Property	7	0%	14%	86%
Felony Public Order	1	0%	0%	100%
Felony Other	1	0%	0%	100%
All Referrals w/ Detention	41	12%	29%	59%

<sup>38</sup> Of 94 referrals with other conditions ordered, 34 had other condition orders that were not completely met. Fourteen (41%) of the 34 referrals were assigned a consequence, with 12 (86%) consequences having been completed.

**Table 39: The Number of Post-Adjudicatory Detention Orders Not Completed Classified by Reason**

<b>Reason for Detention Orders Not Completed</b>	<b>Detention Orders Partially Met</b>	<b>Detention Orders Not Met</b>
Suspended/Pending Future Violation	3	17
Parole/Probation Officer Discretion	9	3
Court-Approved	0	0
Completion Order	0	0
Unknown	0	3
Modified/Elevated	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>

**Table 40: The Distribution of Elapsed Time on Probation for Adjudicated Referrals Ordered Probation<sup>39</sup>**

<b>Probation Time (Months)</b>	<b>Number of Referrals</b>	<b>Percent of Referrals</b>
6 or less	30	24%
More than 6 but no more than 12	50	40%
More than 12 but no more than 18	24	19%
More than 18 but no more than 24	9	7%
More than 24	11	9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 41: The Distribution of Elapsed Time on Probation for Adjudicated Referrals Ordered Probation and Categorized by Disposed Allegation Type<sup>40</sup>**

<b>Probation Time (Months)</b>	<b>Felony</b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Non-Criminal</b>
6 or less	6	14	10
More than 6 but no more than 12	16	20	14
More than 12 but no more than 18	5	17	2
More than 18 but no more than 24	2	5	2
More than 24	3	8	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>28</b>

<sup>39</sup> Table 40 includes referrals with a disposition of probation or both probation and commitment.<sup>40</sup> Table 41 includes referrals with a disposition of probation or both probation and commitment.